PTEP

Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2013**, 00000 (34 pages)
DOI: 10.1093/ptep/0000000000

Cosmological perturbations in the $(1 + 3 + 6)$-dimensional space-times

K. Tomita*

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
*E-mail: ketomita@ybb.ne.jp

arXiv:1412.6580v1 [gr-qc] 20 Dec 2014

Cosmological perturbations in the $(1 + 3 + 6)$-dimensional space-times including photon gas without viscous processes are studied on the basis of Abbott et al.'s formalism. Space-times consist of the outer space (the 3-dimensional expanding section) and the inner space (the 6-dimensional section). The inner space expands initially and contracts later. Abbott et al. derived only power-type solutions in the small wave-number limit which appear at the final stage of the space-times. In this paper, we derive not only small wave-number solutions, but also large wave-number solutions. It is found that the latter solutions depend on the two wave-numbers k_r and k_R (which are defined in the outer and inner spaces, respectively), and that the k_r-dependent and k_R-dependent parts dominate the total perturbations when $(k_r/r(t))/(k_R/R(t)) \gg 1$ or $\ll 1$, respectively, where $r(t)$ and $R(t)$ are the scale-factors in the outer and inner spaces. By comparing the behaviors of these perturbations, moreover, changes in the spectrum of perturbations in the outer space with time are discussed.

1. Introduction

From the viewpoint of analyzing cosmological perturbations and discussing the evolution of their spectrum, we study the cosmological evolution of the $(1 + 3 + 6)$-dimensional space-times, in which it is assumed that our universe appears as an isotropic and homogeneous 10-dimensional space-time and evolves to the state consisting of the 3-dimensional inflating outer space and the 6-dimensional collapsing outer space. This scenario is supported by the present super-string theory (Kim et al. [1, 2] in a matrix model).

In a previous paper[3], we discussed the entropy production at the stage when the above inflation and collapse coexist, and showed how viscous processes help the increase of cosmological entropy; we also discussed the possibility that we satisfy, at the same time, the condition that the entropy in the Guth level[4] is obtained and the condition that the inner space decouples from the outer space.

In this paper we study the evolution of cosmological perturbations in these space-times (in the case with no viscous processes), on the basis of Abbott et al.'s formalism[5]. They extended Bardeen's gauge-invariant formalism[6] in the 4-dimensional cosmological models to that in the multi-dimensional models. Abbott et al. derived only power-type solutions in the small wave-number limit which appear at the final stage of the space-times. In this paper, we derive not only small wave-number solutions, but also large wave-number solutions. It is found that the latter solutions depend on the two wave-numbers k_r and k_R which are defined in the outer and inner spaces, respectively, and that k_r-dependent and k_R-dependent parts dominate the total perturbations when $(k_r/r(t))/(k_R/R(t)) \gg 1$ or $\ll 1$, respectively, where

© The Author(s) 2012. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Physical Society of Japan.
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

$r(t)$ and $R(t)$ are the scale-factors in the outer and inner spaces. Using these solutions, we discuss the evolution of the k_r-dependence (spectrum) of perturbations in the outer space.

In Sect. 2, we review our formalism based on that of Abbott et al., in which the perturbed quantities and Einstein equations are shown and they are classified into three modes, i.e., scalar, vector, and tensor modes. A new equation to be solved in the scalar mode is introduced. In Sect. 3, we derive solutions for the perturbed equations in the scalar mode, and approximate solutions in the cases of $(k_r/r(t))/(k_R/R(t)) \gg 1$ or $\ll 1$ are shown. In Sects. 4 and 5, we derive solutions in the vector and tensor modes, respectively. Similarly approximate solutions in the cases of $(k_r/r(t))/(k_R/R(t)) \gg 1$ or $\ll 1$are shown. In Sect. 6, changes in the spectrum of perturbations with time are discussed. In Sect. 7, concluding remarks are given. In Appendix A, we show the formulas of harmonics and gauge transformations in outer and inner spaces. In Appendices B and C, we show the derivations of approximate solutions in the scalar mode in the cases of $x(\equiv k_r\tau^{4/3}) \ll 1$ and $y(\equiv k_R\tau^{2/3}) \ll 1$, respectively, corresponding to the above cases, where $\tau \equiv t_0 - t$ and t_0 denotes the final time corresponding to $r \to \infty$ and $R = 0$.

2. Formalism of the perturbation theory

The background space-time is expressed in the form of a product of two homogeneous spaces $\mathrm{M_d}$ and $\mathrm{M_D}$ as

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + r^2(t)\ {}^d g_{ij}(x^k)\ dx^i dx^j + R^2(t)\ {}^D g_{ab}(X^c)\ dX^a dX^b, \tag{1}$$

where ${}^d g_{ij}$ and ${}^D g_{ab}$ are the metrics of the outer space $\mathrm{M_d}$ and the inner space $\mathrm{M_D}$ with constant curvatures K_r and K_R, respectively. Here the dimensions of $\mathrm{M_d}$ and $\mathrm{M_D}$ are $d = 3$ and $D = 6$. The inner space $\mathrm{M_D}$ expands initially and collapses after the maximum expansion with $K_R = 1$, while the outer space $\mathrm{M_d}$ continues to expand with $K_r = 0$ or -1. As the collapse and expansion in these spaces proceed, however, the curvature terms of both spaces are negligible and the curvatures can be regarded approximately as $K_r = K_R = 0$. Then the background metric is

$$\begin{aligned} &g_{00} = -1, \quad g_{01} = g_{0a} = g_{ia} = 0, \\ &g_{ij} = r^2\ {}^d g_{ij}, \quad g_{ab} = R^2\ {}^D g_{ab}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

and the Ricci tensor is

$$\begin{aligned} R^0_0 &= -\left(d\frac{\ddot{r}}{r} + D\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} \right), \\ R^i_j &= -\delta^i_j \left[\left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r} \right)^{\cdot} + \frac{\dot{r}}{r}\left(d\frac{\dot{r}}{r} + D\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right) + (d-1)\frac{K_r}{r^2} \right], \\ R^a_b &= -\delta^a_b \left[\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right)^{\cdot} + \frac{\dot{R}}{R}\left(d\frac{\dot{r}}{r} + D\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right) + (D-1)\frac{K_R}{R^2} \right], \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $i, j = 1, ..., d,\ a, b = d+1, ..., d+D$, and an overdot denotes d/dt. The background energy-momentum tensor is

$$T^\mu_\nu = p\delta^\mu_\nu + (\rho + p)u^\mu u_\nu, \tag{4}$$

where u^μ is the fluid velocity, ρ the energy density, and p the pressure. Here ρ and p are the common photon density and pressure in both spaces. The fluid is extremely hot and satisfies

the equation of state $p = \rho/n$ of photon gas, where $n = d + D = 9$. Einstein equations are expressed as

$$R^{\mu}_{\nu} = -8\pi\bar{G}(T^{\mu}_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}T^{\lambda}_{\lambda}), \tag{5}$$

where $\bar{G}$ is the $(1 + d + D)$-dimensional gravitational constant. In the following, we set $8\pi\bar{G} = 1$. The background equation of motion for the matter is

$$\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho + p} + d\frac{\dot{r}}{r} + D\frac{\dot{R}}{R} = 0. \tag{6}$$

At the early stage, the expansion of the total universe is nearly isotropic (i.e. $r \propto R$). At the later stage, the inner space collapses after the maximum expansion, and at the final stage we have an approximate solution

$$r = r_0\ \tau^{\eta}, \quad R = R_0\ \tau^{\gamma} \quad (r_0,\ R_0 : const) \tag{7}$$

with

$$\eta = \{1 - [D(n-1)/d]^{1/2}\}/n, \quad \gamma = \{1 + [d(n-1)/D]^{1/2}\}/n, \tag{8}$$

and $\tau = t_0 - t$, where t_0 is the final time corresponding to $R = 0$. For $d = 3$ and $D = 6$, we have

$$\gamma = -\eta = 1/3. \tag{9}$$

For the solutions (7), Eqs. (4) and (5) lead to $R^0_0 = 0$ and $T^0_0 - \frac{1}{2}T^{\mu}_{\mu} \propto \rho$, so that we have

$$\rho = 0 \tag{10}$$

at the final stage.

2.1. Classification of perturbations

The simplest treatment of perturbations of geometrical and fluidal quantities is to expand them using harmonics, and to find the gauge-invariant quantities, as in Bardeen's theory for perturbations in the four-dimensional universe[6]. In the multi-dimensional universe consisting of the outer and inner homogeneous spaces $\mathrm{M_d}$ and $\mathrm{M_D}$ with different geometrical structures, we can have no harmonics in the $(d + D)$-dimensional space. Abbott et al.[5] considered separate expansions in $\mathrm{M_d}$ and $\mathrm{M_D}$ using the harmonics defined in the individual spaces, classified the perturbations in $\mathrm{M_d}$ and $\mathrm{M_D}$ individually as scalar (S), vector (V), and tensor (T), and classified the 6 types of perturbations in $\mathrm{M_d} + \mathrm{M_D}$ as SS, SV, VS, VV, ST, and TS. The left and right sides of signatures correspond to the perturbations in $\mathrm{M_d}$ and $\mathrm{M_D}$, respectively. The Helmholtz equations defining the harmonics are shown in Appendix A.

In the classification adopted by Abbott et al., the six types of perturbations are divided into three groups:

1. scalar mode (SS),
2. vector mode (SV, VS, VV) ,
3. tensor mode (ST, TS).

In this paper we call these three groups as "modes", corresponding to Abbott et al's "problems".

2.2. Perturbed quantities

2.2.1. The scalar mode. The metric perturbations are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{00} &= -(1 + 2A\ q^{(0)}Q^{(0)}),\\
g_{0i} &= -rb^{(0)}q_i^{(0)}Q^{(0)}, \quad g_{0a} = -RB^{(0)}q^{(0)}Q_a^{(0)},\\
g_{ij} &= r^2[(1 + 2h_L q^{(0)}Q^{(0)})\ {}^d g_{ij} + 2h_T^{(0)}q_{ij}^{(0)}Q^{(0)}],\\
g_{ab} &= R^2[(1 + 2H_L q^{(0)}Q^{(0)})\ {}^D g_{ab} + 2H_T^{(0)}q^{(0)}Q_{ab}^{(0)}],\\
g_{ia} &= 2rRG^{(0)}q_i^{(0)}Q_a^{(0)},
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $q^{(0)}, q_i^{(0)}, q_{ij}^{(0)}$ and $Q^{(0)}, Q_a^{(0)}, Q_{ab}^{(0)}$ are scalar harmonics in $\mathrm{M_d}$ and $\mathrm{M_D}$, respectively, and $A, b^{(0)}, B^{(0)}, h_L, H_L, h_T^{(0)}, H_T^{(0)}$, and $G^{(0)}$ are functions of t.

The perturbations of fluid velocities and the energy-momentum tensor are expressed as

$$u^0 = 1 - Aq^{(0)}Q^{(0)}, \quad u^i = \frac{v^{(0)}}{r}q^{(0)i}Q^{(0)}, \quad u^a = \frac{V^{(0)}}{R}q^{(0)}Q^{(0)a}, \tag{12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
T_0^0 &= -\rho(1 + \delta\ q^{(0)}Q^{(0)}),\\
T_i^0 &= r(\rho + p)(v^{(0)} - b^{(0)})q_i^{(0)}Q^{(0)}, \quad T_a^0 = R(\rho + p)(V^{(0)} - B^{(0)})q^{(0)}Q_a^{(0)},\\
T_j^i &= p(1 + \pi_L q^{(0)}Q^{(0)})\delta_j^i, \quad T_b^a = p(1 + \Pi_L q^{(0)}Q^{(0)})\delta_b^a,\\
T_a^i &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where we consider a perfect fluid, so that the anisotropic pressure terms vanish and we have

$$\pi_L = \Pi_L = \delta. \tag{14}$$

The metric perturbations in Eq. (11) transform as shown in Appendix A for changes in the coordinates, and the following gauge-invariant quantities are defined:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_h &= h_L + \frac{h_T^{(0)}}{d} + \frac{r}{k_r^{(0)}}\frac{\dot r}{r}b^{(0)} - \frac{r^2}{k_r^{(0)2}}\frac{\dot r}{r}\dot h_T^{(0)},\\
\Phi_H &= h_L + \frac{H_T^{(0)}}{D} + \frac{R}{k_R^{(0)}}\frac{\dot R}{R}B^{(0)} - \frac{R^2}{k_R^{(0)2}}\frac{\dot R}{R}\dot H_T^{(0)},
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_A^{(r)} &= A + \frac{r}{k_r^{(0)}}\dot b^{(0)} + \frac{r}{k_r^{(0)}}\left(\frac{\dot r}{r} + D\frac{\dot R}{R}\right)b^{(0)}\\
&\quad - \frac{r^2}{k_r^{(0)2}}\left[\ddot h_T^{(0)} + \left(2\frac{\dot r}{r} + D\frac{\dot R}{R}\right)\dot h_T^{(0)}\right] + D\left(H_L + \frac{H_T^{(0)}}{D}\right),\\
\Phi_A^{(R)} &= A + \frac{R}{k_R^{(0)}}\dot B^{(0)} + \frac{R}{k_R^{(0)}}\left(d\frac{\dot r}{r} + \frac{\dot R}{R}\right)B^{(0)}\\
&\quad - \frac{R^2}{k_R^{(0)2}}\left[\ddot H_T^{(0)} + \left(d\frac{\dot r}{r} + 2\frac{\dot R}{R}\right)\dot H_T^{(0)}\right] + d\left(h_L + \frac{h_T^{(0)}}{d}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The gauge-invariant quantities Φ_h and $\Phi_A^{(r)}$ in the outer space correspond to the gauge-invariant perturbations defined by Bardeen[6] in the $(1 + 3)$-dimensional usual universes,

and Φ_H and $\Phi_A^{(R)}$ in the inner space are similar to the above quantities. Φ_h and Φ_H represent the curvature perturbations in both spaces.

The gauge-invariant quantities for fluid velocity and energy density perturbations are given by

$$v_s^{(0)} = v^{(0)} - \frac{r}{k_r^{(0)}}\dot{h}_T^{(0)}, \quad V_s^{(0)} = V^{(0)} - \frac{R}{k_R^{(0)}}\dot{H}_T^{(0)}, \tag{17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_m &= \delta + \frac{n+1}{n}\left[d\frac{\dot{r}}{k_r^{(0)}}(v^{(0)} - b^{(0)}) + D\frac{\dot{R}}{k_R^{(0)}}(V^{(0)} - B^{(0)})\right], \\
\epsilon_g &= \delta - \frac{n+1}{n}\left[d\frac{\dot{r}}{k_r^{(0)}}(b^{(0)} - \frac{r}{k_r^{(0)}}\dot{h}_T^{(0)}) + D\frac{\dot{R}}{k_R^{(0)}}(B^{(0)} - \frac{R}{k_R^{(0)}}\dot{H}_T^{(0)})\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

As a gauge-invariant quantity that has no counterpart in the usual universe, we have

$$\Phi_G = G^{(0)} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{k_r^{(0)}}\frac{r}{R}h_T^{(0)} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{k_R^{(0)}}\frac{R}{r}H_T^{(0)}, \tag{19}$$

which was introduced by Abbott et al.[5].

2.2.2. The vector mode. The metric perturbations are

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{00} &= -1, \\
g_{0i} &= -rb^{(1)}q_i^{(1)}Q^{(0)}, \quad g_{0a} = -RB^{(1)}q^{(0)}Q_a^{(1)}, \\
g_{ij} &= r^2({}^d g_{ij} + 2h_T^{(1)}q_{ij}^{(1)}Q^{(0)}), \quad g_{ab} = R^2({}^D g_{ab} + 2H_T^{(1)}q^{(0)}Q_{ab}^{(1)}), \\
g_{ia} &= 2rR(G^{(01)}q_i^{(0)}Q_a^{(1)} + G^{(10)}q_i^{(1)}Q_a^{(0)} + G^{(11)}q_i^{(1)}Q_a^{(1)}).
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The perturbed fluid velocity is

$$\begin{aligned}
u^0 &= 1, \\
u^i &= \frac{v^{(1)}}{r}q^{(1)i}Q^{(0)}, \quad u^a = \frac{V^{(1)}}{R}q^{(0)}Q^{(1)a},
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and the perturbed energy-momentum tensor is

$$\begin{aligned}
T_0^0 &= -\rho, \\
T_i^0 &= r(\rho + p)(v^{(1)} - b^{(1)})q_i^{(1)}Q^{(0)}, \\
T_a^0 &= R(\rho + p)(V^{(1)} - B^{(1)})q^{(0)}Q_a^{(1)}, \\
T_j^i &= p\delta_j^i, \quad T_b^a = p\delta_b^a, \quad T_a^i = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where we neglected anisotropic stresses.

For the VS part of the vector mode, we have the gauge-invariant metric perturbations defined by

$$\Psi_r = b^{(1)} - \frac{r}{k_r^{(1)}}\dot{h}_T^{(1)}, \quad J_r = G^{(10)} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{k_r^{(1)}}\frac{r}{R}h_T^{(1)}, \tag{23}$$

and fluidal perturbations are

$$v_s^{(1)} = v^{(1)} - \frac{r}{k_r^{(1)}}\dot{h}_T^{(1)}, \quad v_c = v^{(1)} - b^{(1)} = v_s^{(1)} - \Psi_r. \tag{24}$$

For the SV part of the vector mode,

$$\Psi_R = B^{(1)} - \frac{R}{k_R^{(1)}}\dot{H}_T^{(1)}, \quad J_R = G^{(01)} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{k_R^{(1)}}\frac{R}{r}H_T^{(1)}, \tag{25}$$

and fluidal perturbations are

$$V_s^{(1)} = V^{(1)} - \frac{R}{k_R^{(1)}}\dot{H}_T^{(1)}, \quad V_c = V^{(1)} - B^{(1)} = V_s^{(1)} - \Psi_R. \tag{26}$$

For the VV part, we have only one gauge-invariant quantity $G^{(11)}$.

2.2.3. The tensor mode. We have only metric perturbations given by

$$\begin{aligned} &g_{00} = -1, \quad g_{0i} = g_{0a} = g_{ia} = 0, \\ &g_{ij} = r^2({}^d g_{ij} + 2h_T^{(2)} q_{ij}^{(2)} Q^{(0)}), \\ &g_{ab} = R^2({}^D g_{ab} + 2H_T^{(2)} q^{(0)} Q_{ab}^{(2)}), \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

and have no fluidal perturbations, where have we neglected anisotropic stresses. In this mode, $h_T^{(2)}$ and $H_T^{(2)}$ correspond to the TS and ST parts of curvature perturbations and they themselves are gauge-invariant.

2.3. Perturbed Einstein equations

The perturbed Einstein equations are

$$\delta G_\nu^\mu \equiv \delta R_\nu^\mu - \frac{1}{2}\delta_\nu^\mu \delta R_\lambda^\lambda = -8\pi \bar{G}\delta T_\nu^\mu. \tag{28}$$

2.3.1. The scalar mode. First we take up the following three relations which hold in the perturbed Einstein equations

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta G_j^i - \frac{1}{d}\delta_j^i \delta G_k^k = 0, \quad \delta G_b^a - \frac{1}{D}\delta_b^a \delta G_c^c = 0, \\ &\delta G_a^i = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Using the expressions of δR_ν^μ given in the Appendix of Ref.[5], we obtain three relations between the gauge-invariant quantities from the above relations:

$$\Phi_A^{(r)} + (d-2)\Phi_h + 2(k_R^{(0)}/R)^2 \tilde{\Phi}_G = 0, \tag{30}$$

$$\Phi_A^{(R)} + (D-2)\Phi_H + 2(k_r^{(0)}/r)^2 \tilde{\Phi}_G = 0, \tag{31}$$

$$[(k_r^{(0)}/r)^2 + (k_R^{(0)}/R)^2]\tilde{\Phi}_G = -\Xi_G, \tag{32}$$

where

$$\tilde{\Phi}_G \equiv \frac{rR}{k_r^{(0)} k_R^{(0)}} \Phi_G, \tag{33}$$

Φ_G is a gauge-invariant quantity defined in Eq.(19), and

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_G \equiv \frac{rR}{k_r^{(0)} k_R^{(0)}} &\left\{ \ddot{\Phi}_G + \left(d\frac{\dot{r}}{r} + D\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right) \dot{\Phi}_G - \left[(d-1)\frac{K_r}{r^2} + (D-1)\frac{K_R}{R^2} + \left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r} - \frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right)^2 \right] \Phi_G \right\} \\ &- \left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r} - \frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right) \left(\frac{r}{\dot{r}} \Phi_h - \frac{R}{\dot{R}} \Phi_H - \Phi_6 \right).\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

This equation is rewritten in terms of $\tilde{\Phi}_G$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_G = \ddot{\tilde{\Phi}}_G &+ \left(d\frac{\dot{r}}{r} + D\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right) \dot{\tilde{\Phi}}_G - \left[(d-1)\frac{K_r}{r^2} + (D-1)\frac{K_R}{R^2} + \left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r} - \frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right)^2 \right] \tilde{\Phi}_G \\ &- \left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r} - \frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right) \left(\frac{r}{\dot{r}} \Phi_h - \frac{R}{\dot{R}} \Phi_H - \Phi_6 \right),\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where we have used the relation $rR = \text{const.}$

Here Φ_6 is an auxiliary gauge-invariant quantity defined by

$$\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\Phi_6 \equiv \frac{\dot{R}}{R}\left(h_L + \frac{h_T^{(0)}}{d} \right) - \frac{\dot{r}}{r}\left(H_L + \frac{H_T^{(0)}}{D} \right), \tag{36}$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\Phi}_6 + \left(d\frac{\dot{r}}{r} + D\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right) \Phi_6 = &\left(\frac{r}{\dot{r}} \right)^2 \left\{ \frac{\dot{r}}{r}\dot{\Phi}_h - \left[\left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r} \right)^{\cdot} - D\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right] \Phi_h \right\} - \Phi_A^{(r)} \\ &- \left(\frac{R}{\dot{R}} \right)^2 \left\{ \frac{\dot{R}}{R}\dot{\Phi}_H - \left[\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right)^{\cdot} - d\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right] \Phi_H \right\} + \Phi_A^{(R)}.\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Next from another relation

$$\delta G_0^0 - \frac{d}{k_r^{(0)2}}\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\delta G_i^{0|i} - \frac{D}{k_R^{(0)2}}\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\delta G_a^{0|a} = -\rho\epsilon_m q^{(0)} Q^{(0)}, \tag{38}$$

we obtain

$$(d-1)\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r} \right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{dK_r}{k_r^{(0)2}} \right) \Phi_h + (D-1)\left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R} \right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{DK_R}{k_R^{(0)2}} \right) \Phi_H + \Xi_\epsilon = -\rho\epsilon_m, \tag{39}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_\epsilon \equiv &\left[d\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R} \right)^2 + D\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r} \right)^2 \right] \left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{r}{\dot{r}}\Phi_h + \frac{1}{2}\frac{R}{\dot{R}}\Phi_H - (\tilde{\Phi}_G)^{\cdot} \right] \\ &+ 2\tilde{\Phi}_G \left[\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)} k_R^{(0)}}{rR} \right)^2 + d\left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R} \right)^2 + D\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r} \right)^2 \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\Phi_6 \left\{ d\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\left[\left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R} \right)^2 + 2D\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right)^2 \right] - D\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\left[\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r} \right)^2 + 2d\left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r} \right)^2 \right] \right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

As one of equations describing the time development of Φ_h and Φ_H, we have

$$\delta G^{\lambda}_{\lambda} = 0, \tag{41}$$

which is expressed using gauge-invariant quantities as

$$\begin{aligned} d\{\ddot{\Phi}_h &+ \left[(d+1)\frac{\dot{r}}{r} + 2D\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right]\dot{\Phi}_h - \frac{r}{\dot{r}}[\left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\right)^{\cdot\cdot} + (d+1)\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\right)^{\cdot} - D(d+1)\left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\right)^2\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \\ &- D^2\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^2 - D\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^{\cdot} + \frac{d-1}{d}\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r}\right)^2]\Phi_h \\ &- \frac{\dot{r}}{r}\dot{\Phi}_A^{(r)} - \left[2\left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\right)^{\cdot} + (d+1)\left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\right)^2 + D\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\frac{\dot{R}}{R} + \frac{k_r^{(0)2}}{dr^2}\right]\Phi_A^{(r)}\} \\ &+ D\{l.c. \leftrightarrow u.c.\} + \frac{1}{2}\Phi_6\left[d\left(\frac{\ddot{r}}{r}\right)^{\cdot} - D\left(\frac{\ddot{R}}{R}\right)^{\cdot} - \rho\frac{n+1}{n}\left(d\frac{\dot{r}}{r} - D\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)\right] = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

where $\{l.c. \leftrightarrow u.c.\}$ means the terms (in $\{\ \}$) given by the exchanges $r \leftrightarrow R$ and $d \leftrightarrow D$.

As another equation describing the time development of Φ_h and Φ_H, we adopt

$$\frac{1}{d}\delta G^i_i - \frac{1}{D}\delta G^a_a = 0, \tag{43}$$

which holds because $\delta T^i_j = p\delta\, q^{(0)}Q^{(0)}\delta^i_j$ and $\delta T^a_b = p\delta\, q^{(0)}Q^{(0)}\delta^a_b$. Equation (43) is expressed using the gauge-invariant quantities as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\ddot{\Phi}_h &+ \left[2d\frac{\dot{r}}{r} + (D-2d)\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right]\dot{\Phi}_h + \{\left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R}\right)^2 - 2d\left[\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^{\cdot} + \frac{\dot{R}}{R}\left(d\frac{\dot{r}}{r} + D\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)\right] \\ &+ \frac{2(d-1)}{d}\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r}\right)^2\left(1 - \frac{dK_r}{k_r^{(0)2}}\right)\}\Phi_h + \left\{\frac{k_r^{(0)2}}{dr^2} - 2\left[\left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\right)^{\cdot} + \frac{\dot{r}}{r}\left(d\frac{\dot{r}}{r} + D\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)\right]\right\}\Phi_A^{(r)} \\ &- \frac{\dot{r}}{r}\dot{\Phi}_A^{(r)}\rangle - \langle l.c. \leftrightarrow u.c.\rangle + \frac{2k_r^{(0)}k_R^{(0)}}{rR}\left(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{D}\right)\Phi_G + \Xi_7\Phi_7 + 2n\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\dot{\Phi}_7 = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where $\langle l.c. \leftrightarrow u.c.\rangle$ means the terms (in $\langle\ \rangle$) given by the exchanges $r \leftrightarrow R$ and $d \leftrightarrow D$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_7 \equiv \{&\frac{d(d-1)}{n}\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\left[\frac{K_r}{r^2} - \frac{\ddot{r}}{r} + \left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\right)^2\right] + \left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{r}\right)^2\frac{\dot{r}}{r} + \left(2n - \frac{dD}{n}\right)\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\frac{\ddot{r}}{r} \\ &+ \left[2n(d-1) + \frac{Dd}{n}\right]\left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\right)^2\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\} + \{l.c. \leftrightarrow u.c.\} \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

and Φ_7 is another auxiliary gauge-invariant quantity defined by

$$\Phi_7 \equiv \frac{r}{k_r^{(0)}}b^{(0)} - \frac{R}{k_R^{(0)}}B^{(0)} - \left(\frac{r}{k_r^{(0)}}\right)^2\dot{h}_T^{(0)} + \left(\frac{R}{k_R^{(0)}}\right)^2\dot{H}_T^{(0)}, \tag{46}$$

satisfying the relation

$$\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\Phi_h - \frac{\dot{r}}{r}\Phi_H = \frac{\dot{r}}{r}\frac{\dot{R}}{R}(\Phi_6 + \Phi_7). \tag{47}$$

In Ref.[5], Eq. (43) was not adopted as the equation to be solved, but it is a fundamental equation to be solved to derive Φ_h and Φ_H in general situations. They paid attention only to

the case when $\rho \to 0$ at the final stage $r \to \infty$ and $R \to 0$. In this case, Eq.(39) with $\rho\epsilon = 0$ may be one of the conditions for constraining the behaviors of Φ_h and Φ_H, and they could derive the behavior of Φ_h and Φ_H using it in the limit of small wave-numbers. In present paper, however, we use Eqs. (43) and (44) to derive their behaviors in more general cases including the case of large wave-numbers. Then, equations to be solved are Eqs. (32), (37), (42), and (44) for the four quantities $\tilde{\Phi}_G, \Phi_6, \Phi_h$, and Φ_H.

2.3.2. The vector mode. In the VS case, we have the following three equations from $\delta G^\mu_\nu = \delta T^\mu_\nu$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(\frac{k_r^{(1)}}{r}\right)^2\left[1-(d-1)\frac{K_r}{k_r^{(1)2}}\right]+\left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R}\right)^2\right\}\Psi_r-\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R}\left[\dot{J}_r-\left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r}-\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)J_r\right]=-(\rho+p)v_c, \tag{48}$$

$$\dot{\Psi}_r+\left[(d-1)\frac{\dot{r}}{r}+D\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right]\Psi_r+2\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R}J_r=0, \tag{49}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\dot{\Psi}_r+\frac{1}{2}\left[(d+1)\frac{\dot{r}}{r}+(D-2)\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right]\Psi_r-\frac{R}{k_R^{(0)}}\{\ddot{J}_r+\left(d\frac{\dot{r}}{r}+D\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)\dot{J}_r\\ +\left[\left(\frac{k_r^{(1)}}{r}\right)^2-(D-1)\frac{K_R}{R^2}-\left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r}-\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^2\right]J_r\}=0.\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

In the SV case, we have similarly

$$\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r}\right)^2+\left(\frac{k_R^{(1)}}{R}\right)^2\left[1-(D-1)\frac{K_R}{k_R^{(1)2}}\right]\right\}\Psi_R-\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r}\left[\dot{J}_R+\left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r}-\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)J_R\right]=-(\rho+p)V_c, \tag{51}$$

$$\dot{\Psi}_R+\left[d\frac{\dot{r}}{r}+(D-1)\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right]\Psi_R+2\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r}J_R=0, \tag{52}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\dot{\Psi}_R+\frac{1}{2}\left[(D+1)\frac{\dot{R}}{R}+(d-2)\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\right]\Psi_R-\frac{r}{k_r^{(0)}}\{\ddot{J}_R+\left(d\frac{\dot{r}}{r}+D\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)\dot{J}_R\\ +\left[\left(\frac{k_R^{(1)}}{R}\right)^2-(d-1)\frac{K_r}{r^2}-\left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r}-\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^2\right]J_R\}=0.\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

In the VV case, we have for $G^{(11)}$

$$\ddot{G}^{(11)}+\left(d\frac{\dot{r}}{r}+D\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)\dot{G}^{(11)}+\left[\left(\frac{k_r^{(1)}}{r}\right)^2+\left(\frac{k_R^{(1)}}{R}\right)^2-\left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r}-\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^2\right]G^{(11)}=0. \tag{54}$$

2.3.3. The tensor mode. In the TS case, we have for the gauge-invariant quantity $h_T^{(2)}$

$$\ddot{h}_T^{(2)} + \left(d\frac{\dot{r}}{r} + D\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right) \dot{h}_T^{(2)} + \left[\left(\frac{k_r^{(2)}}{r} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R} \right)^2 + 2\frac{K_r}{r^2} \right] h_T^{(2)} = 0, \tag{55}$$

and in the ST case for $H_T^{(2)}$

$$\ddot{H}_T^{(2)} + \left(d\frac{\dot{r}}{r} + D\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right) \dot{H}_T^{(2)} + \left[\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{k_R^{(2)}}{R} \right)^2 + 2\frac{K_R}{R^2} \right] H_T^{(2)} = 0. \tag{56}$$

In these cases, we have $h_T^{(2)}$ and $H_T^{(2)}$ representing the tensor components, but no scalar and vector quantities.

3. Solutions in the scalar mode

Let us derive various approximate solutions for the equations of perturbations, at the final stage in the model with $d = 3$ and $D = 6$. In this model we have the relation $rR = \text{const}$ (cf. Eqs.(7) and (9)), which is useful to simplify the derivation of solutions.

3.1. Basic equations

In the present model, Eq. (32) can be expressed as

$$\tilde{\Phi}_G'' + \frac{1}{\tau}\tilde{\Phi}_G' + \left[\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R} \right)^2 - \frac{4/9}{\tau^2} \right] \tilde{\Phi}_G = 2\Phi_h + 2\Phi_H - \frac{2}{3}\Phi_6/\tau, \tag{57}$$

where $\tau = t_0 - t$, $'$ denotes $\partial/\partial\tau$, and $\tilde{\Phi}_G$ is defined by Eq. (33).

Next, eliminating $\Phi_A^{(r)}$ and $\Phi_A^{(R)}$ in Eq. (37) by the use of Eqs. (30) and (31), we express the equation for Φ_6 as

$$\Phi_6{}' + \frac{1}{\tau}\Phi_6 = 3\tau \left(\Phi_h{}' + \frac{8/3}{\tau}\Phi_h + \Phi_H{}' + \frac{4/3}{\tau}\Phi_H \right) + 2 \left[\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R} \right)^2 \right] \tilde{\Phi}_G, \tag{58}$$

Eliminating $\Phi_A^{(r)}$ and $\Phi_A^{(R)}$, Eq.(42) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_h{}'' + \frac{7/3}{\tau}\Phi_h{}' + \left[\frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r} \right)^2 - \frac{32/9}{\tau^2} \right] \Phi_h \\ &\quad + 2 \left\{ \Phi_H{}'' + \frac{5/3}{\tau}\Phi_H{}' + \left[\frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R} \right)^2 - \frac{8/9}{\tau^2} \right] \Phi_H \right\} - \frac{8}{9}\tau^{-3}\Phi_6 \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{k_r^{(0)} k_R^{(0)}}{rR} \right)^2 + \frac{4}{3\tau^2} \left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R} \right)^2 \right] \tilde{\Phi}_G + \frac{2}{3\tau} \left[2 \left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R} \right)^2 \right] \tilde{\Phi}_G' = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Equation (44) can similarly be expressed as

$$\Phi_h{}'' + \frac{11/3}{\tau}\Phi_h{}' + 2\left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R}\right)^2\Phi_h - \Phi_H{}'' - \frac{7/3}{\tau}\Phi_H{}' - 2\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r}\right)^2\Phi_H$$
$$- \frac{2}{\tau^2}\left\{\Phi_6{}' - \frac{1}{\tau}\left[1 + \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}\tau}{r}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}\tau}{R}\right)^2\right]\Phi_6\right\} - \frac{2\gamma}{\tau}\left[\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R}\right)^2\right]\tilde{\Phi}_G'$$
$$- \frac{4/9}{\tau^2}\left[\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R}\right)^2\right]\tilde{\Phi}_G = 0, \tag{60}$$

or furthermore eliminating $\Phi_6{}'$ by use of Eq. (58), we obtain

$$\Phi_h{}'' - \frac{7/3}{\tau}\Phi_h{}' + \left[2\left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R}\right)^2 - \frac{16}{\tau^2}\right]\Phi_h - \Phi_H{}'' - \frac{25/3}{\tau}\Phi_H{}' - \left[2\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r}\right)^2 + \frac{8}{\tau^2}\right]\Phi_H$$
$$+ \frac{2}{\tau^3}\left[2 + \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}\tau}{r}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}\tau}{R}\right)^2\right]\Phi_6 - \frac{2/3}{\tau}\left[\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R}\right)^2\right]\tilde{\Phi}_G' \tag{61}$$
$$- \frac{40/9}{\tau^2}\left[\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R}\right)^2\right]\tilde{\Phi}_G = 0.$$

Equations (59) and (61) are rewritten as the equations giving only $\Phi_h{}''$ and $\Phi_H{}''$ as follows :

$$3\Phi_h{}'' - \frac{7/3}{\tau}\Phi_h{}' + \left[\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r}\right)^2 + 4\left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R}\right)^2 - \frac{320/9}{\tau^2}\right]\Phi_h$$
$$- \frac{40/3}{\tau}\Phi_H{}' - \left[\frac{160/9}{\tau^2} + 4\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R}\right)^2\right]\Phi_H + \frac{4}{\tau^3}\left[\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}\tau}{r}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}\tau}{r}\right)^2 + \frac{16}{9}\right]\Phi_6$$
$$+ \left\{\frac{4}{3}\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}k_R^{(0)}}{rR}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{9\tau^2}\left[92\left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R}\right)^2 - 80\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r}\right)^2\right]\right\}\tilde{\Phi}_G - \frac{2}{\tau}\left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R}\right)^2\tilde{\Phi}_G' = 0, \tag{62}$$

and

$$3\Phi_H{}'' + \frac{35/3}{\tau}\Phi_H{}' + \left[2\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R}\right)^2 + \frac{56/9}{\tau^2}\right]\Phi_H$$
$$+ \frac{14/3}{\tau}\Phi_h{}' + \left[\frac{112/9}{\tau^2} + \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r}\right)^2 - 2\left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R}\right)^2\right]\Phi_h - \frac{2}{\tau^3}\left[\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}\tau}{r}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}\tau}{r}\right)^2 + \frac{22}{9}\right]\Phi_6$$
$$+ \left\{\frac{4}{3}\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}k_R^{(0)}}{rR}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{9\tau^2}\left[40\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r}\right)^2 - 28\left(\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R}\right)^2\right]\right\}\tilde{\Phi}_G + \frac{2}{\tau}\left(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r}\right)^2\tilde{\Phi}_G' = 0. \tag{63}$$

The four equations Eqs.(57), (58), (62), and (63) are basic equations to be solved for deriving $\tilde{\Phi}_G, \Phi_6, \Phi_h$ and Φ_H. In this system of equations, two wave-numbers $k_r^{(0)}$ and $k_R^{(0)}$ appear, and so the solutions are functions of these two wave-numbers.

3.2. Equations with respect to $k_r^{(0)}\tau^{4/3}$ and their approximate solutions

Furthermore let us define x by $k_r^{(0)}d\tau = rdx$ or

$$x = \frac{3}{4r_0}k_r^{(0)}\tau^{4/3} \tag{64}$$

for the convenience of calculations. Then the above four equations are expressed using x as

$$\Phi_{Gx,xx} = -\frac{1}{x}\Phi_{Gx,x} - (1 + \frac{\mu}{x} - \frac{1/4}{x^2})\Phi_{Gx} + x^{-1/2}(2\Phi_h + 2\Phi_H - \frac{2}{3}x^{-3/4}\Phi_{6x}), \tag{65}$$

$$\Phi_{6x,x} = -\frac{3}{4x}\Phi_{6x} + 3x^{3/4}(\Phi_{h,x} + \frac{2}{x}\Phi_h + \Phi_{H,x} + \frac{1}{x}\Phi_H) + \frac{3}{2}x^{1/4}(1 - \frac{\mu}{x})\Phi_{Gx}, \tag{66}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{h,xx} = {} & \frac{1}{3x}\Phi_{h,x} - \frac{1}{3}(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{4\mu}{x} - \frac{20}{x^2})\Phi_h \\ & + \frac{10}{3x}\Phi_{H,x} + \frac{1}{3}(4 - \frac{\mu}{3x} + \frac{10}{x^2})\Phi_H - \frac{2}{9}x^{-3/4}(1 - \frac{\mu}{x} + 6x^{-2})\Phi_{6x} \\ & - [\frac{4}{9}\frac{\mu}{x^{1/2}} - x^{-3/2}(\frac{23}{12} - \frac{5}{3}\frac{\mu}{x})]\Phi_{Gx} + \frac{1}{2}x^{-3/2}\mu\Phi_{Gx,x},\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{H,xx} = {} & -\frac{19}{6x}\Phi_{H,x} - \frac{1}{3}(2 + \frac{\mu}{3x} + \frac{7/2}{x^2})\Phi_H \\ & - \frac{7}{6x}\Phi_{h,x} + \frac{1}{3}(-\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2\mu}{x} - \frac{7}{x^2})\Phi_h + \frac{1}{9}x^{-3/4}(1 - \frac{\mu}{x} + \frac{33}{4}x^{-2})\Phi_{6x} \\ & - [\frac{4}{9}\frac{\mu}{x^{1/2}} + x^{-3/2}(\frac{5}{6} - \frac{7}{12}\frac{\mu}{x})]\Phi_{Gx} - \frac{1}{2}x^{-1/2}\Phi_{Gx,x},\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

where

$$\Phi_{Gx} \equiv (\frac{4}{3}k_r^{(0)3}/(r_0)^3)^{1/2}\tilde{\Phi}_G, \tag{69}$$

$$\Phi_{6x} \equiv (\frac{3}{4}k_r^{(0)}/r_0)^{3/4}\Phi_6, \tag{70}$$

$$\mu \equiv \frac{3}{4}(k_R^{(0)2}/k_r^{(0)})(r_0/(R_0)^2), \tag{71}$$

$r = r_0\tau^{-1/3},\ R = R_0\tau^{1/3}$ and $,x$ denotes d/dx. From Eqs. (64) and (71), we have

$$\mu/x = (k_R^{(0)}/k_r^{(0)})^2(r_0/R_0)^2\tau^{-4/3} = [(k_R^{(0)}/R)/(k_r^{(0)}/r)]^2. \tag{72}$$

In the case of small τ and $x \ll 1$, four equations Eqs.(65), (66), (67), and (68) are found to have a special set of solutions

$$\begin{aligned}&\Phi_{6x} = 0, \quad \Phi_{Gx} = -2x^{-1/2}x_i^2\ln\frac{x}{x_i}\ \Phi_{hi} + 2x^{1/2}x_i\ln\frac{x}{x_i}\ \Phi_{Hi} \\ &\Phi_h = (x/x_i)^{-2}\Phi_{hi}, \quad \Phi_H = (x/x_i)^{-1}\Phi_{Hi},\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

where Φ_{hi}, Φ_{Hi}, and x_i are the values of Φ_h, Φ_H, and x at the epoch $\tau = \tau_i$.

The above four equations can be solved from the epoch x_i numerically in the direction of increasing x (or decreasing t), when we use Eq. (73) as the condition at the epoch. They

Fig. 1: Curvature perturbations in the case of $x_i = 0.1$ and $\mu = 0$. The solid and dotted curves denote Φ_h and Φ_H, respectively.



were solved using the Runge-Kutta method. An example of the numerical solutions is shown in Fig. 1, which gives Φ_h and Φ_H in the case of $x_i = 0.1$ and $\mu = 0$. It is found that, during the stage of $x \ll 1$, Φ_h and Φ_H behave simply as $\propto x^{-2}$ and $\propto x^{-1}$, respectively, but, as x (or τ) increases, the behaviors change, and they oscillate when $x \gg 1$.

Now let us consider the case when $x \gg 1$ and $\mu/x \ll 1$. In this case, the outer wave-number $(k_r^{(0)}/r)$ is $\gg 1$ and much larger than the inner wave-number $(k_R^{(0)}/R)$, as can be found from Eq. (72). Here we neglect the terms μ/x in four equations (65) - (68), and assume that all quantities have an oscillatory factor $\exp i\omega x$, where ω is a constant frequency. Then $\Phi_{Gx}, \Phi_{6x}, \Phi_h$, and Φ_H are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{Gx} &= \Phi_{G0}(x) \exp i\omega x, \quad \Phi_{6x} = \Phi_{60}(x) \exp i\omega x, \\ \Phi_h &= \Phi_{h0}(x) \exp i\omega x, \quad \Phi_H = \Phi_{H0}(x) \exp i\omega x, \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

where $\Phi_{G0}(x), \Phi_{60}(x), \Phi_{h0}(x)$, and $\Phi_{H0}(x)$ are slowly varying monotonic functions. The special case when the quantities cannot be expanded is separately treated.

From Eq. (65), we obtain for main terms with respect to $1/x$ ($\ll 1$)

$$(1-\omega^2+i\omega x^{-1})\Phi_{G0}+2i\omega\Phi_{G0,x}=x^{-1/2}(2\Phi_{h0}+2\Phi_{H0}-\frac{2}{3}x^{-3/4}\Phi_{60}) \tag{75}$$

and similarly from Eq.(66)

$$\Phi_{h0}+\Phi_{H0}-\frac{1}{3}x^{-3/4}\Phi_{60}=\frac{1}{2}ix^{-1/2}\omega^{-1}\Phi_{G0}. \tag{76}$$

From the compatibility of these two equations, we find that

$$\omega=1 \quad \text{or} \quad \Phi_{\rm G0}=0. \tag{77}$$

3.2.1. The case of $\omega=1$. Equations for $\Phi_{h0},\Phi_{H0},\Phi_{60}$, and Φ_{G0} are derived from Eqs. (65) - (68), solved in Appendix B, and their solutions are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{H0}&=-\frac{1}{3}\Phi_{h0}, \quad \Phi_{60}=2x^{3/4}\Phi_{h0},\\ \Phi_{G0}&=\frac{8}{3}x^{1/2}(\Phi_{h0})_{,x}, \quad \Phi_{h0}\propto x^{\alpha},\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

where a constant α satisfies

$$\alpha^2-\frac{1}{2}\alpha-\frac{1}{4}=0 \tag{79}$$

and its solutions are

$$\alpha=\frac{1}{4}(1\pm\sqrt{5})\simeq 0.81,-0.31. \tag{80}$$

3.2.2. The case of $\omega\neq 1$ *and* $\Phi_{G0}=0$. From Eqs. (66) - (68), we obtain for the lowest-order terms with respect to $1/x$

$$\begin{aligned}x^{-3/4}\Phi_{60}&=3(\Phi_{h0}+\Phi_{H0}),\\ (\frac{7}{9}-\omega^2)\Phi_{h0}&=\frac{2}{3}\Phi_{H0}, \quad (\frac{1}{3}-\omega^2)\Phi_{H0}=\frac{2}{9}\Phi_{h0},\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

and from the latter two equations, we obtain

$$(\omega^2-7/9)(\omega^2-1/3)=4/27, \tag{82}$$

or

$$\omega=1/3, \tag{83}$$

and from one of the above equations we obtain

$$\Phi_{h0}=\Phi_{H0}. \tag{84}$$

As shown in Appendix B, Φ_{h0} for $\omega=1/3$ has the x-dependence as

$$\Phi_{h0}\propto x^{-7/6}. \tag{85}$$

3.2.3. The solutions with power dependences. Let us assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{Gx} &= \Phi_{G0} x^{\alpha_G}, \quad \Phi_{6x} = \Phi_{60} x^{\alpha_6}, \\ \Phi_h &= \Phi_{h0} x^{\alpha_h}, \quad \Phi_H = \Phi_{H0} x^{\alpha_H}, \end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

where $(\alpha_G, \alpha_6, \alpha_h, \alpha_H)$ and $(\Phi_{G0}, \Phi_{60}, \Phi_{h0}, \Phi_{H0})$ are constants. Then, as shown in Appendix B, we obtain

$$\Phi_{h0} = 0, \ \Phi_{60} = 6\Phi_{H0}, \text{ and } \Phi_{G0} = -2\Phi_{H0}, \tag{87}$$

$$\alpha_h = \alpha_H = -3, \ \alpha_6 = -9/4, \text{ and } \alpha_G = -7/2. \tag{88}$$

The important character of this type of perturbation is that we have no curvature perturbations in the outer space ($\Phi_{h0} = 0$).

3.3. Equations with respect to $k_R^{(0)}\tau^{2/3}$ and their approximate solutions

Moreover let us define y by $k_R^{(0)} d\tau = R dy$ or

$$y = \frac{3}{2R_0} k_R^{(0)} \tau^{2/3} \tag{89}$$

similarly to Eq.(64). Then the above four equations Eqs.(57), (58), (62), and (63) are expressed as

$$\Phi_{Gy,yy} = -\frac{1}{y}\Phi_{Gy,y} - (1 + \frac{2\nu}{3}y^2 - \frac{1}{y^2})\Phi_{Gy} + y(2\Phi_h + 2\Phi_H - \frac{2}{3}y^{-3/2}\Phi_{6y}), \tag{90}$$

$$\Phi_{6y,y} = -\frac{3}{2y}\Phi_{6y} + 3y^{3/2}(\Phi_{h,y} + \frac{4}{y}\Phi_h + \Phi_{H,y} + \frac{2}{y}\Phi_H) - 3y^{-1/2}(1 - \frac{2}{3}\nu y^2)\Phi_{Gy}, \tag{91}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{h,yy} = {} & \frac{5}{3y}\Phi_{h,x} - \frac{1}{3}(4 + \frac{2}{9}\nu y^2 - \frac{80}{y^2})\Phi_h \\ & + \frac{20}{3y}\Phi_{H,y} - \frac{1}{3}(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{8}{3}\nu y^2 - \frac{40}{y^2})\Phi_H + \frac{2}{9}y^{-3/2}(1 - \frac{2}{3}\nu y^2 - 24y^{-2})\Phi_{6y} \\ & - [\frac{8}{27}\nu y + \frac{1}{3}y^{-3}(23 - \frac{40}{3}\nu y^2)]\Phi_{Gy} + y^{-2}\Phi_{Gy,y}, \end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{H,yy} = {} & -\frac{16}{3y}\Phi_{H,y} - \frac{1}{3}(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{8}{9}\nu y^2 + \frac{14}{y^2})\Phi_H \\ & - \frac{7}{3y}\Phi_{h,y} + \frac{1}{3}(1 - \frac{4}{9}\nu y^2 - \frac{28}{y^2})\Phi_h - \frac{1}{9}y^{-3/2}(1 - \frac{2}{3}\nu y^2 - \frac{33}{y^2})\Phi_{6y} \\ & - [\frac{8}{27}\nu y - \frac{1}{3}y^{-3}(7 - \frac{40}{9}\nu y^2)]\Phi_{Gy} - \frac{2}{3}\nu\Phi_{Gy,y}, \end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

where

$$\Phi_{Gy} \equiv (\frac{4}{3}k_R^{(0)4}/(r_0)^3)^{1/2}\tilde{\Phi}_G, \tag{94}$$

$$\Phi_{6y} \equiv (\frac{3}{4}k_R^{(0)}/r_0)^{3/4}\tilde{\Phi}_6, \tag{95}$$

$$\nu \equiv (k_r^{(0)}/k_R^{(0)2})^2((R_0)^2/r_0)^2 = (\frac{3}{4\mu})^2, \tag{96}$$

and , y denotes d/dy. From Eqs. (89) and (96), we have

$$\nu y^2 = (k_r^{(0)}/k_R^{(0)})^2(R_0/r_0)^2\tau^{4/3} = [(k_r^{(0)}/r)/(k_R^{(0)}/R)]^2 = x/\mu. \tag{97}$$

Fig. 2: Curvature perturbations in the case of $y_i = 0.2$ and $\nu = 0$. The solid and dotted curves denote Φ_h and Φ_H, respectively.



In the case of small τ and $y \ll 1$, these four equations Eqs.(90), (91), (92), and (93) have a special solution

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_{6y} = 0, \quad \Phi_{Gy} = -y^{-1} y_i^4 \ln \frac{y}{y_i}\ \Phi_{hi} + y y_i^2 \ln \frac{y}{y_i}\ \Phi_{Hi} \\ &\Phi_h = (y/y_i)^{-4} \Phi_{hi}, \quad \Phi_H = (y/y_i)^{-2} \Phi_{Hi}, \end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

where Φ_{hi}, Φ_{Hi}, and y_i are the values of Φ_h, Φ_H, and y at the epoch $\tau = \tau_i$. This is found to be identical with the special solution Eq. (73). The above four equations were solved numerically using Eq. (98) as the condition at the epoch y_i in the direction of increasing y (or decreasing t). An example of it is shown in Fig. 2, which gives Φ_h and Φ_H in the case of $y_i = 0.2$ and $\nu = 0$.

Now let us consider the case when $y \gg 1$ and $\nu y^2\ (= \mu/x)\ \ll 1$. In this case, the inner wave-number $(k_R^{(0)}/R)$ is $\gg 1$ and much larger than the outer wave-number $(k_r^{(0)}/r)$, as can be found from Eq. (97). Here we neglect the terms νy^2 in the four equations, and assume that

all quantities have an oscillatory factor $\exp i\omega y$, where ω is a frequency. Then $\Phi_{Gy}, \Phi_{6y}, \Phi_h$, and Φ_H are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{Gy} &= \Phi_{G0}(y)\exp i\omega y, \quad \Phi_{6y} = \Phi_{60}(y)\exp i\omega y, \\ \Phi_h &= \Phi_{h0}(y)\exp i\omega y, \quad \Phi_H = \Phi_{H0}(y)\exp i\omega y, \end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

where $\Phi_{G0}(y), \Phi_{60}(y), \Phi_{h0}(y)$, and $\Phi_{H0}(y)$ are slowly varying monotonic functions. The special case when the quantities cannot be expanded is treated separately.

From Eq. (90), we obtain for the main terms with respect to $1/y$ ($\ll 1$)

$$(1-\omega^2+i\omega y^{-1})\Phi_{G0} + 2i\omega\Phi_{G0,y} = y(2\Phi_{h0} + 2\Phi_{H0} - \frac{2}{3}y^{-3/2}\Phi_{60}) \tag{100}$$

and, similarly, from Eq.(91)

$$\Phi_{h0} + \Phi_{H0} - \frac{1}{3}y^{-3/2}\Phi_{60} = -iy^{-2}\omega^{-1}\Phi_{G0}. \tag{101}$$

From the compatibility of Eqs. (100) and (101), we find that

$$\omega = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \Phi_{\rm G0} = 0. \tag{102}$$

3.3.1. The case of $\omega = 1$. The equations for $\Phi_{h0}, \Phi_{H0}, \Phi_{60}$, and Φ_{G0} are derived from Eqs. (90) - (93), solved in Appendix C, and their solutions are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{H0} &= -\frac{1}{10}\Phi_{h0}, \quad \Phi_{60} = \frac{11}{5}y^{3/2}\Phi_{h0}, \\ \Phi_{G0} &= \frac{1}{6}iy^2\Phi_{h0}, \quad \Phi_{h0} \propto y^{-7/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

3.3.2. The case of $\omega \neq 1$ *and* $\Phi_{G0} = 0$. From Eqs. (91) - (93), we obtain for the lowest-order terms with respect to $1/y$

$$\begin{aligned} y^{-3/2}\Phi_{60} &= 3(\Phi_{h0} + \Phi_{H0}), \\ (\frac{2}{3} - \omega^2)\Phi_{h0} &= \frac{5}{9}\Phi_{H0}, \\ (\frac{4}{9} - \omega^2)\Phi_{H0} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

From the latter two equations, we find that there are the following two cases (a) and (b):
(a) $\omega = 2/3$ and $\Phi_{H0} = \frac{2}{5}\Phi_{h0}$

As shown in Appendix C, Φ_{h0} for $\omega = 2/3$ has y-dependence as

$$\Phi_{h0} \propto y^{\alpha}, \tag{105}$$

where a constant α satisfies

$$\alpha^2 + \frac{653}{96}\alpha + \frac{1097}{64} = 0, \tag{106}$$

and its solution is

$$\alpha = \alpha_r \pm i\alpha_m \tag{107}$$

with $\alpha_r \simeq -4.40$ and $\alpha_m \simeq 2.36$. Then Φ_{h0} can also be expressed as $\Phi_{h0} \propto y^{\alpha_r}\exp(\pm i\alpha_m \ln y)$, which shows that Φ_{h0} oscillates slowly in a logarithmic way.
(b) $\omega = (2/3)^{1/2}$ and $\Phi_{H0} = 0$

As shown in Appendix C, Φ_{h0} for $\omega = (2/3)^{1/2}$ has the y-dependence as

$$\Phi_{h0} \propto y^{\beta}, \tag{108}$$

where a constant β satisfies

$$\beta^2 + \frac{33}{16}\beta - \frac{285}{32} = 0, \tag{109}$$

and its solution is

$$\beta \simeq 2.13,\ -4.19. \tag{110}$$

3.3.3. The solutions with power dependences. Let us assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{Gy} = \Phi_{G0} y^{\alpha_G}, \quad \Phi_{6y} = \Phi_{60} y^{\alpha_6}, \\ \Phi_h = \Phi_{h0} y^{\alpha_h}, \quad \Phi_H = \Phi_{H0} y^{\alpha_H}, \end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

where $(\alpha_G, \alpha_6, \alpha_h, \alpha_H)$ and $(\Phi_{G0}, \Phi_{60}, \Phi_{h0}, \Phi_{H0})$ are constants. Then, as shown in Appendix C, we obtain

$$\Phi_{H0} = -2\Phi_{h0}, \quad \Phi_{60} = 5\Phi_{h0}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{G0} = -\frac{16}{3}\Phi_{h0}, \tag{112}$$

$$\alpha_h = \alpha_H = 1/8, \quad \alpha_6 = 13/8, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_G = 9/8. \tag{113}$$

In this case, we have both non-zero perturbations in the inner and outer spaces, in different from Eq.(87).

3.4. Summary of approximate solutions in the scalar mode

In the case of small τ and $x \ll 1$ (or $y \ll 1$), we have $\Phi_h \propto x^{-2}$ and $\Phi_H \propto x^{-1}$ (or $\Phi_h \propto y^{-4}$ and $\Phi_H \propto y^{-2}$), respectively, where $x \equiv \frac{3}{4r_0} k_r^{(0)} \tau^{4/3}$ and $y \equiv \frac{3}{2R_0} k_R^{(0)} \tau^{2/3}$.

In the case of $x \gg 1$ and $\mu/x \ll 1$ (or $y \gg 1$ and $\nu y^2\ (= x/\mu) \ll 1$), k_r dependent perturbations (or k_R dependent perturbations) dominate k_R dependent ones (or k_r dependent ones), respectively. Among the solutions in these cases, only the solutions in the cases of $\omega = 1,\ \alpha \simeq 0.81$ (of $x \gg 1,\ \mu/x \ll 1$) and $\omega = (2/3)^{1/2},\ \beta \simeq 2.13$ (of $y \gg 1,\ \nu y^2 \ll 1$) grow in the direction of increasing τ, and all the other perturbations grow in the direction of decreasing τ (or increasing t).

In Figs.1 and 2, we can see the behaviors of the solutions with $\omega = 1,\ \alpha \simeq 0.81$ in the region of $x \gg 1$ and $\omega = (2/3)^{1/2},\ \beta \simeq 2.13$ in the region of $y \gg 1$. It is noted that only these perturbations appear as growing ones, when we solve in the direction of increasing x or y.

4. Solutions in the vector mode

We consider here the VS, SV and VV cases at the final stage with $r = r_0 \tau^{-1/3}$ and $R = R_0 \tau^{1/3}$, and $\rho = p = 0$.

4.1. The VS case

From Eqs. (48) and (49) , we obtain

$$\psi_r{}'' + \frac{7/3}{\tau}\psi_r{}' + [(\frac{k_r^{(1)}}{r})^2 + (\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R})^2]\psi_r = 0, \tag{114}$$

$$J_r = \frac{R}{k_R^{(0)}}(\Psi_r{}' + \frac{4/3}{\tau}\Psi_r), \tag{115}$$

where $' = \partial/\partial\tau$ and Eq. (50) is derived from Eqs. (48) and (49).

For $(\frac{k_r^{(1)}}{r})^2 + (\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R})^2 \ll \tau^{-2}$, we have two solutions

$$\Psi_r \propto 1,\ \tau^{-4/3}, \quad J_r = \tau^{-2/3},\ 0, \tag{116}$$

respectively. On the other hand, for $(\frac{k_r^{(1)}}{r})^2 + (\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R})^2 \gg \tau^{-2}$, we can consider two different cases $(\frac{k_r^{(1)}}{r})^2 \gg (\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R})^2$ and $(\frac{k_r^{(1)}}{r})^2 \ll (\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R})^2$.

If we use x defined by $k_r^{(1)} d\tau = r dx$ or $x = (3/4r_0) k_r^{(1)} \tau^{4/3}$, we obtain from Eq.(114)

$$\Psi_{r,xx} + \frac{2}{x}\Psi_{r,x} + (1 + \mu/x)\Psi_r = 0, \tag{117}$$

where $\mu/x = (k_R^{(0)}/k_r^{(1)})^2 (r_0/R_0)^2 \tau^{-4/3} = [(k_R^{(0)}/R)/(k_r^{(1)}/r)]^2$.

For $\Psi_r = x^{-1/2}\tilde{\Psi}_r$, this equation is replaced by

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{r,xx} + \frac{1}{x}\tilde{\Psi}_{r,x} + [-\frac{1}{4}x^{-2} + 1 + \mu/x]\tilde{\Psi}_r = 0, \tag{118}$$

so that

$$\tilde{\Psi}_r \simeq J_0(x) \text{ or } N_0(x) \tag{119}$$

for $x \gg 1$ and $\mu/x \ll 1$, where $J_0(x)$ and $N_0(x)$ are the 0 th Bessel functions of first and second kinds, respectively. Here $J_0(x)$ and $N_0(x)$ for $x \gg 1$ have asymptotic behaviors such as

$$J_0 \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}}\cos(x - \pi/4), \quad N_0 \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}}\sin(x - \pi/4). \tag{120}$$

If we use y defined by Eq. (89), we obtain

$$\Psi_{r,yy} + \frac{3}{y}\Psi_{r,y} + (1 + \nu y^2)\Psi_r = 0, \tag{121}$$

where $\nu y^2 = (k_r^{(1)}/k_R^{(0)})^2 (R_0/r_0)^2 \tau^{4/3} = [(k_r^{(1)}/r)/(k_R^{(0)}/R)]^2$ $(= x/\mu)$. For $\Psi_r = y^{-1}\tilde{\Psi}_r$, this equation is

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{r,yy} + \frac{1}{y}\tilde{\Psi}_{r,y} + [-y^{-2} + 1 + \nu y^2]\tilde{\Psi}_r = 0, \tag{122}$$

so that

$$\tilde{\Psi}_r \simeq J_0(y) \text{ or } N_0(y) \tag{123}$$

for $y \gg 1$ and $\nu y^2 \ll 1$.

It is found, therefore, that for $(k_r^{(1)}/r)^2 + (k_R^{(0)}/R)^2 \gg \tau^{-2}$, ψ_r takes the wavy behaviors with respect to x or y. From the asymptotic behaviors of Bessel functions, the amplitudes of ψ_r are found to be $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}}x^{-1/2}$ and $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi y}}y^{-1}$ for $\mu/x \ll 1$ and $\nu y^2 (= x/\mu) \ll 1$, respectively.

In terms of x and y, solutions (116) are expressed as $\Psi_r \propto 1, x^{-1}$ (for $x \ll 1$) or $\propto 1, y^{-2}$ (for $y \ll 1$).

4.2. The SV case

From Eqs. (51) and (52) , we obtain

$$\Psi_R{}'' - \frac{1/3}{\tau}\Psi_R{}' + [(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r})^2 + (\frac{k_R^{(1)}}{R})^2 - \frac{4/3}{\tau^2}]\Psi_R = 0, \tag{124}$$

$$J_R = \frac{r}{2k_r^{(0)}}(\Psi_R{}' + \frac{2/3}{\tau}\Psi_R), \tag{125}$$

where Eq. (53) is derived from Eqs. (51) and (52).

For $(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r})^2+(\frac{k_R^{(1)}}{R})^2 \ll \tau^{-2}$, we have two solutions

$$\Psi_R \propto \tau^2,\ \tau^{-2/3}, \quad J_R = \tau^{2/3},\ 0, \tag{126}$$

respectively. On the other hand, for $(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r})^2+(\frac{k_R^{(1)}}{R})^2 \gg \tau^{-2}$, we can consider two different cases $(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r})^2 \gg (\frac{k_R^{(1)}}{R})^2$ and $(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r})^2 \ll (\frac{k_R^{(1)}}{R})^2$.

By use of x defined by $k_r^{(0)}d\tau = rdx$ or $x = (3/4r_0)k_r^{(0)}\tau^{4/3}$, we obtain

$$\Psi_{R,xx} + (1+\mu/x - \frac{3/4}{x^2})\Psi_R = 0, \tag{127}$$

where $\mu/x = (k_R^{(1)}/k_r^{(0)})^2(r_0/R_0)^2\tau^{-4/3} = [(k_R^{(1)}/R)/(k_r^{(0)}/r)]^2$.

For $\Psi_R = x^{1/2}\tilde{\Psi}_R$, this equation is replaced by

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{R,xx} + \frac{1}{x}\tilde{\Psi}_{R,x} + [-x^{-2}+1+\mu/x]\tilde{\Psi}_R = 0, \tag{128}$$

so that

$$\tilde{\Psi}_R \simeq J_0(x) \text{ or } N_0(x) \tag{129}$$

for $x \gg 1$ and $\mu/x \ll 1$.

If we use y defined by $k_R^{(1)}d\tau = Rdy$ or $y = (3/2R_0)k_R^{(1)}\tau^{2/3}$, we obtain

$$\Psi_{R,yy} - \frac{1}{y}\Psi_{R,y} + (-\frac{3}{y^2}+1+\nu y^2)\Psi_R = 0, \tag{130}$$

where $\nu y^2 = (k_r^{(0)}/k_R^{(1)})^2(R_0/r_0)^2\tau^{4/3} = [(k_r^{(0)}/r)/(k_R^{(1)}/R)]^2$. For $\Psi_r = y\tilde{\Psi}_r$, this equation is

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{R,yy} + \frac{1}{y}\tilde{\Psi}_{R,y} + [-4y^{-2}+1+\nu y^2]\tilde{\Psi}_R = 0, \tag{131}$$

so that

$$\tilde{\Psi}_R \simeq J_0(y) \text{ or } N_0(y) \tag{132}$$

for $y \gg 1$ and $\nu y^2 \ll 1$.

From the asymptotic behaviors of Bessel functions, the amplitudes of ψ_R are found to be $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}}x^{1/2}$ and $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi y}}y$ for $\mu/x \ll 1$ and $\nu y^2 (= x/\mu) \ll 1$, respectively.

In terms of x and y, solutions (126) are expressed as $\Psi_R \propto x^{3/2}, x^{-1/2}$ (for $x \ll 1$) or $\propto y^3, y^{-1}$ (for $y \ll 1$).

4.3. The VV case

Equation (54) reduces to

$$G^{(11)\prime\prime} + \frac{1}{\tau}G^{(11)\prime} + [(\frac{k_r^{(1)}}{r})^2 + (\frac{k_R^{(1)}}{R})^2 - \frac{4/9}{\tau^2}]G^{(11)} = 0. \tag{133}$$

For $\tau \simeq 0$, we have

$$G^{(11)} \propto \tau^{2/3}, \quad \tau^{-2/3}. \tag{134}$$

If we use x or y defined by $x = (3/4r_0)k_r^{(1)}\tau^{4/3}$ or $y = (3/2R_0)k_R^{(1)}\tau^{2/3}$, we obtain

$$G^{(11)}{}_{,xx} + \frac{1}{x}G^{(11)}{}_{,x} + [1+\mu/x + \frac{1}{4x^2}]G^{(11)} = 0, \tag{135}$$

or

$$G^{(11)}{}_{,yy} + \frac{1}{y}G^{(11)}{}_{,y} + [1 + \nu y^2 - \frac{1}{y^2}]G^{(11)} = 0, \tag{136}$$

where μ/x and νy^2 are defined by $\mu/x = (k_R^{(1)}/k_r^{(1)})^2(r_0/R_0)^2\tau^{-4/3} = [(k_R^{(1)}/R)/(k_r^{(1)}/r)]^2$, and $\nu y^2 = 1/(\mu/x)$. From these equations, therefore, we obtain

$$G^{(11)} = J_0(x) \quad \text{or} \quad J_0(y) \tag{137}$$

for the situation of $[\frac{1}{4x^2} \ll 1$ and $\mu/x \ll 1]$ or $[\frac{1}{y^2} \ll 1$ and $\nu y^2 \ll 1]$, respectively.

From the asymptotic behaviors of Bessel functions, the amplitudes of $G^{(11)}$ are found to be $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}}$ and $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi y}}$ for $\mu/x \ll 1$ and $\nu y^2 (= x/\mu) \ll 1$, respectively.

In terms of x and y, solutions (134) are expressed as $G^{(11)} \propto x^{1/2}, x^{-1/2}$ (for $x \ll 1$) or $\propto y, y^{-1}$ (for $y \ll 1$).

5. Solutions in the tensor mode

Here we consider the TS and ST cases at the final stage.

5.1. The TS case

The equation for $h_T^{(2)}$ reduces to

$$h_T^{(2)\prime\prime} + \frac{1}{\tau}h_T^{(2)\prime} + [(\frac{k_r^{(2)}}{r})^2 + (\frac{k_R^{(0)}}{R})^2]h_T^{(2)} = 0. \tag{138}$$

Here we use x, defined by $k_r^{(2)}d\tau = rdx$ (or $x = (3/4r_0)k_r^{(2)}\tau^{4/3}$), and y, defined by $k_R^{(0)}d\tau = Rdy$ (or $y = (3/2R_0)k_R^{(0)}\tau^{2/3}$). Then we obtain

$$h_T^{(2)}{}_{,xx} + \frac{1}{x}h_T^{(2)}{}_{,x} + (1 + \mu/x)h_T^{(2)} = 0. \tag{139}$$

and

$$h_T^{(2)}{}_{,yy} + \frac{1}{y}h_T^{(2)}{}_{,y} + (1 + \nu y^2)h_T^{(2)} = 0, \tag{140}$$

where $\mu/x = (k_R^{(0)}/k_r^{(2)})^2(r_0/R_0)^2\tau^{-4/3} = [(k_R^{(0)}/R)/(k_r^{(2)}/r)]^2$, and $\nu y^2 = (\mu/x)^{-1}$.

For $\mu/x \ll 1$ or $\nu y^2 \ll 1$, we have

$$h_T^{(2)} = J_0(x) \quad \text{or} \quad J_0(y), \tag{141}$$

respectively, as the solutions which are regular at the epoch $\tau = 0$, corresponding to $x = 0$ or $y = 0$.

From the asymptotic behaviors of Bessel functions, the amplitudes of $h_T^{(2)}$ for $x \gg 1$ (or $y \gg 1$) are found to be $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}}$ for $\mu/x \ll 1$ (or $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi y}}$ for $\nu y^2 (= x/\mu) \ll 1$), respectively.

5.2. The ST case

Equation for $H_T^{(2)}$ reduces to

$$H_T^{(2)\prime\prime} + \frac{1}{\tau}H_T^{(2)\prime} + [(\frac{k_r^{(0)}}{r})^2 + (\frac{k_R^{(2)}}{R})^2]H_T^{(2)} = 0. \tag{142}$$

Here we use x and y which are defined by $k_r^{(0)}d\tau = rdx$ (or $x = (3/4r_0)k_r^{(0)}\tau^{4/3}$) and y defined by $k_R^{(2)}d\tau = Rdy$ (or $y = (3/2R_0)k_R^{(2)}\tau^{2/3}$). Then we obtain

$$H_T^{(2)}{}_{,xx} + \frac{1}{x}H_T^{(2)}{}_{,x} + (1 + \mu/x)H_T^{(2)} = 0 \tag{143}$$

and

$$H_T^{(2)}{}_{,yy} + \frac{1}{y}H_T^{(2)}{}_{,y} + (1 + \nu y^2)H_T^{(2)} = 0, \tag{144}$$

where μ/x and νy^2 $(= 1/(\mu/x))$ are also given in the TS case. For $\mu/x \ll 1$ or $\nu y^2 \ll 1$, we have

$$H_T^{(2)} = J_0(x) \quad \text{or} \quad J_0(y), \tag{145}$$

respectively, as the solutions that are regular at the epoch $\tau = 0$, corresponding to $x = 0$ or $y = 0$.

From the asymptotic behaviors of Bessel functions, the amplitudes of $H_T^{(2)}$ for $x \gg 1$ (or $y \gg 1$) are found to be $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}}$ for $\mu/x \ll 1$ (or $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi y}}$ for $\nu y^2 (= x/\mu) \ll 1$), respectively.

6. Evolution of models, perturbations and their spectrum

We consider the evolution of the inner and outer spaces and the perturbations in their spaces at the final stage. Characteristic epochs in the evolution are as follows. Since the total universe starts from the isotropic state, we assume first for simplicity that, at the initial epoch t_1 of this final stage, both scale-factors are equal, i.e., $R(\tau_1) = r(\tau_1)$, where $\tau_1 = t_0 - t_1$. As $R(\tau_1) = R_0 \cdot (\tau_1)^{1/3}$ and $r(\tau_1) = r_0 \cdot (\tau_1)^{-1/3}$, we have

$$\tau_1 = (r_0/R_0)^{3/2}. \tag{146}$$

As for the k_r and k_R dependences of perturbations, moreover, we assume that initially both spaces have the same spectra (or k_r and k_R dependence) with equal mean values $\bar{k}_R = \bar{k}_r$. Then we have the relation $(\bar{k}_R/R)/(\bar{k}_r/r) = 1$ at epoch τ_1.

Secondly, let us consider a characteristic epoch τ_2, when the mean wavelength of k_r dependent perturbations in the outer space is equal to the sound wavelength, that is, $\bar{k}_r/r(\tau_2) = 1/(c_s\tau_2)$ in all modes, where c_s is the sound velocity of photon fluids (≈ 1). The mean value of x ($\equiv \frac{3}{4r_0}k_r\tau^{4/3}$) is ≈ 1 and $\gg 1$ at $\tau = \tau_2$ and $\tau \gg \tau_2$, respectively.

Thirdly, we consider another characteristic epoch τ_3, when the mean wavelength of k_R dependent perturbations in the outer space is equal to the sound wavelength, i.e., $\bar{k}_R/R = 1/(c_s\tau_3)$ in all modes. The mean values of y ($\equiv \frac{3}{2R_0}k_R\tau^{2/3}$) are ≈ 1 and $\gg 1$ at $\tau = \tau_3$ and $\tau \gg \tau_3$, respectively. Then

$$\tau_2 = (r_0/c_s\bar{k}_r)^{3/4} \text{ and } \tau_3 = (R_0/c_s\bar{k}_R)^{3/2}, \tag{147}$$

so that, using Eq. (146), we obtain the relation

$$\tau_1\tau_3 = (\tau_2)^2 \tag{148}$$

between the three epochs τ_1, τ_2 and τ_3.

Finally, we consider as the limiting epoch the epoch $\tau_\dagger$ when the cosmological entropy reaches the Guth level and the inner space decouples from the outer space. According to my previous work[3], we have $R(\tau_\dagger)/R(\tau_M) \simeq 3.0 \times 10^{-13}$, where τ_M represents an epoch at the stage when the inner space has the maximum expansion. So, if we assume $\tau_M \approx \tau_1$ roughly,

$$\tau_\dagger/\tau_1 \approx (3.0 \times 10^{-13})^3 \simeq 3.0 \times 10^{-38}, \tag{149}$$

because of $R \propto \tau^{1/3}$.

Now we define the spectrum of perturbations in the outer space as the square of absolute values of curvature perturbations in the scalar mode (i.e., $|\Phi_h|^2$) which is expressed as their functions of k_r. The form of the primeval spectrum appearing before the final stage is assumed to be a simple one with a peak in the mean value ($\bar{k}_r$) of k_r. At the final stage, the spectrum in the case of $x \gg 1$ and $\mu/x \ll 1$ changes with time due to the evolution of the k_r dependent perturbations, but the spectrum in the case of $y \gg 1$ and νy^2 $(= x/\mu)$ $\ll 1$ does not change with time, because k_R dependent perturbations are dominant and do not depend on k_r.

As we have seen, perturbations are functions of two wave-numbers k_r and k_R. Here let us consider the behaviors of perturbations with various values of k_r. To simplify the treatment about them, we fix in the following the value of k_R to the mean value $\bar{k}_R$, when we compare the k_r dependence with the k_R dependence.

(a) First we consider the perturbations with the mean wavelengths, i.e., $k_r = \bar{k}_r$ at epoch τ_1. Then, at epochs $\tau_1 \gg \tau \gg \tau_3$, we have $y \gg 1$ and

$$(\bar{k}_r/r)/(\bar{k}_R/R) \ll 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \nu y^2 \ (= x/\mu) \ \ll 1, \tag{150}$$

because r increases and R decreases with time. In this case, from the results in Sect. 3, it is found that, in the outer space, the k_R dependent perturbations dominate and the change in k_r dependent perturbations is relatively small, because the k_R dependent perturbations have no role to changing the k_r dependence of the spectrum. At epochs $\tau_\dagger < \tau < \tau_3$, we have $y < 1$ and the wave-like behaviors disappear in both of k_r and k_R dependent perturbations, and so the change in perturbations is expressed in the form of power functions of τ. Accordingly the evolution of perturbations gives only small change in the k_r dependence of the spectrum around $k_r = \bar{k}_r$.

(b) Next we consider the perturbations with $k_r < \bar{k}_r$. At epochs $\tau_1 \gg \tau \gg \tau_3$, we have $y \gg 1$ and

$$(k_r/r)/(\bar{k}_R/R) \ll 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \nu y^2 \ (= x/\mu) \ll 1 \tag{151}$$

similarly for the mean perturbations in the inner space ($k_R = \bar{k}_R$). So, the k_R dependent perturbations dominate and the change in k_r dependent perturbations are relatively small. That is, we have small changes in the spectrum for $k_r < \bar{k}_r$.

(c) Thirdly we consider the perturbations with $k_r > \bar{k}_r$. Then we have

$$(\bar{k}_R/R)/(k_r/r) < 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \mu/x < 1 \tag{152}$$

during the time interval of

$$\tau_1 \quad > \quad \tau \quad > \quad \tau_k, \tag{153}$$

where

$$k_r/r(\tau_k)/[\bar{k}_R/R(\tau_k)] = 1 \tag{154}$$

or

$$\tau_k = (\bar{k}_r/k_r)^{3/2}\tau_1. \tag{155}$$

In the last equation, we used the relation $\bar{k}_R = \bar{k}_r$. If $\tau_1 > \tau_k > \tau_2$, we have $x > 1$ and the k_r dependent wavy behavior in these perturbations dominates the k_R dependent perturbations in the outer space for $\tau_1 > \tau > \tau_k$. If $\tau_2 > \tau_k > \tau_3$, the k_r dependent wavy behavior dominates the k_R dependent perturbations for $\tau_1 > \tau > \tau_2$ and the k_r dependent power-like behavior dominates them for $\tau_2 > \tau > \tau_k$. If $\tau_3 > \tau_k > \tau_\dagger$, the k_r dependent perturbations dominate the k_R dependent perturbations for $\tau_1 > \tau > \tau_3$, and both of k_r and k_R dependent perturbations are expressed for $\tau_3 > \tau > \tau_k$ as power functions of τ being independent of k_r, so that there is no change in the spectrum.

From the above analyses, it is found to be for

$$k_r > \bar{k}_r \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_k < \tau_3. \tag{156}$$

that, in the most effective way, the k_r dependent perturbations dominate the k_R dependent perturbations and can modify the spectrum of perturbations in the outer space. From Eqs. (155) and (156), we obtain the condition

$$k_r > k_{rm}\ [\equiv \bar{k}_r(\tau_1/\tau_3)^{2/3}], \tag{157}$$

where k_{rm} is the critical values of k_r with maximum spectral changes and

$$1 < (\tau_1/\tau_3)^{2/3} < (\tau_1/\tau_\dagger)^{2/3} \approx 10^{25}. \tag{158}$$

This condition (157) means that, for larger values of τ_1/τ_3 ($\gg 1$), we have spectral changes for larger $k(> k_{rm})$.

7. Concluding remarks

In this paper we studied the evolution of various perturbations (including the curvature perturbations) in three modes and found that both of k_r and k_R dependences appear in the perturbations. Sometimes the perturbations depend mainly on k_r, and sometimes they depend mainly on k_R. The k_R dependent perturbations do not depend on k_r, and so have no influence on the spectrum of k_r dependent perturbations. It was found that, in the large interval of k_r including the mean value $\bar{k}_r$, the k_R dependent perturbations dominate the k_r dependent perturbations in the outer space, and so the spectrum of perturbations as functions of k_r does not change with time, and that it is $k_r > k_{rm}$ $[\equiv \bar{k}_r(\tau_1/\tau_3)^{2/3}]$ that k_r dependent perturbations modify the spectrum by dominating the k_R dependent perturbations, where τ_1 and τ_3 are the initial epoch at the final stage and the epoch when the mean wavelength of k_R dependent perturbations is equal to the sound wavelength, respectively, and $\tau_1/\tau_3 \gg 1$.

Thus, in our classical treatments of perturbations, the spectrum of perturbations in the outer space starts from the primeval one at the nearly isotropic stage ($\tau > \tau_1$), changes mainly for $k_r > k_{rm}$ due to the evolution of perturbations at the final stage ($\tau_1 > \tau > \tau_\dagger$), and transfers to the observed spectrum of perturbations in the Friedmann stage (after epoch $\tau_\dagger$). During the long period from the primeval stage to the final anisotropic stage of the multi-dimensional universe, the perturbations may be created by the quantum fluctuations of scalar, vector and tensor fields. Their treatments may be very interesting, but are beyond the scope of the present work.

We have paid attention only to the spectrum in the scalar mode in Sect. 6. Similar discussions on the spectrum in the vector and tensor modes may be possible.

In this paper we have treated the case with no viscous processes. In the cases with viscous processes, the dynamical evolution of perturbations may be much more complicated, but the fundamental structure, that, in the outer space, perturbations depend on both k_r and k_R and the change in the spectrum depends on how the k_r dependence dominates the k_R dependence, may be invariant.

Acknowledgements

The numerical calculations in this work were carried out on SR16000 at YITP in Kyoto University.

A. Harmonics and gauge transformations

A.1. Harmonics

The scalar harmonics in the outer and inner spaces are derived from scalar Helmholtz equations

$$q^{(0)}{}_{|i}^{|i} + k_r^{(0)2} q^{(0)} = 0, \quad Q^{(0)}{}_{|a}^{|a} + k_R^{(0)2} Q^{(0)} = 0 \tag{A1}$$

where $q^{(0)} = q^{(0)}(x^i)$, $Q^{(0)} = Q^{(0)}(x^a)$, $i = 1, ..., d$, $a = d+1, ..., d+D$. $|i$ and $|a$ denote covariant derivatives with respect to x^i and X^a in the outer and inner spaces, respectively. The vector and tensor quantities are constructed from $q^{(0)}$ and $Q^{(0)}$, and indices are raised and lowered by ${}^d g_{ij}$ and ${}^D g_{ab}$ in Eq. (1), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} q_i^{(0)} &= -\frac{1}{k_r^{(0)}} q_{|i}^{(0)}, \quad q_{ij}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{k_r^{(0)2}} q_{|ij}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{d} {}^d g_{ij} q^{(0)}, \\ Q_a^{(0)} &= -\frac{1}{k_R^{(0)}} Q_{|a}^{(0)}, \quad Q_{ab}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{k_R^{(0)2}} Q_{|ab}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{D} {}^D g_{ab} Q^{(0)}. \end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

The vector Helmholtz equations are

$$q^{(1)i}{}_{|j}^{|j} + k_r^{(1)2} q^{(1)i} = 0, \quad Q^{(1)a}{}_{|b}^{|b} + k_R^{(1)2} Q^{(1)a} = 0, \tag{A3}$$

where $q^{(1)i}$ and $Q^{(1)a}$ are divergenceless, intrinsically vector quantities and do not reduce to any scalars. Tensor (constructed) quantities are

$$q_{ij}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2k_r^{(1)}} (q_{i|j}^{(1)} + q_{j|i}^{(1)}), \quad Q_{ab}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2k_R^{(1)}} (Q_{a|b}^{(1)} + Q_{b|a}^{(1)}). \tag{A4}$$

The tensor Helmholtz equations are

$$q^{(2)ij}{}_{|k}^{|k} + k_r^{(2)2} q^{(2)ij} = 0, \quad Q^{(2)ab}{}_{|c}^{|c} + k_R^{(2)2} Q^{(2)ab} = 0, \tag{A5}$$

where $q^{(2)ij}$ and $Q^{(2)ab}$ are divergenceless and traceless, intrinsically tensor quantities.

A.2. Gauge transformations

Gauge transformations are shown in the following three modes.

A.2.1. The scalar mode. Coordinate transformations are expressed using three functions of t as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{t} &= t + Tq^{(0)}Q^{(0)}, \\ \bar{x}^i &= x^i + l^{(0)}q^{(0)i}Q^{(0)}, \quad \bar{X}^a = X^a + L^{(0)}q^{(0)}Q^{(0)a}, \end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

where T, l, and L are functions of t. For these transformations, the metric perturbations transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A} &= A - \dot{T}, \\ \bar{b}^{(0)} &= b^{(0)} + (k_r^{(0)}/r)T + r\dot{l}^{(0)}, \\ \bar{B}^{(0)} &= B^{(0)} + (k_R^{(0)}/R)T + R\dot{L}^{(0)}, \\ \bar{h}_L^{(0)} &= h_L^{(0)} - (k_r^{(0)}/d)l^{(0)} - (\dot{r}/r)T, \\ \bar{H}_L^{(0)} &= H_L^{(0)} - (k_R^{(0)}/D)L^{(0)} - (\dot{R}/R)T, \\ \bar{h}_T^{(0)} &= h_T^{(0)} + k_r^{(0)}l^{(0)}, \\ \bar{H}_T^{(0)} &= H_T^{(0)} + k_R^{(0)}L^{(0)}, \\ \bar{G}^{(0)} &= G^{(0)} + k_R^{(0)}\frac{r}{2R}l^{(0)} + k_r^{(0)}\frac{R}{2r}L^{(0)}. \end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

The fluid quantities transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v}^{(0)} &= v^{(0)} + r\dot{l}^{(0)}, \quad \bar{V}^{(0)} = V^{(0)} + R\dot{L}^{(0)}, \\ \bar{\delta} &= \delta - \frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho}T, \\ \bar{\pi}_L &= \pi_L - \frac{\dot{p}}{p}T, \quad \bar{\Pi}_L = \Pi_L - \frac{\dot{p}}{p}T. \end{aligned} \tag{A8}$$

A.2.2. The vector mode. Coordinate transformations are expressed as

$$\bar{x}^i = x^i + l^{(1)}q^{(1)i}Q^{(0)}, \quad \bar{X}^a = X^a + L^{(1)}q^{(0)}Q^{(1)a}, \tag{A9}$$

and the metric perturbations and fluid quantities transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{b}^{(1)} &= b^{(1)} + r\dot{l}^{(1)}, \quad \bar{B}^{(1)} = B^{(1)} + R\dot{L}^{(1)}, \\ \bar{h}_T^{(1)} &= h_T^{(1)} + k_r^{(1)}l^{(1)}, \quad \bar{H}_T^{(1)} = H_T^{(1)} + k_R^{(1)}L^{(1)}, \\ \bar{G}^{(10)} &= G^{(10)} + k_R^{(0)}\frac{r}{2R}l^{(1)}, \ \bar{G}^{(01)} = G^{(01)} + k_r^{(0)}\frac{R}{2r}L^{(1)}, \\ \bar{G}^{(11)} &= G^{(11)}, \end{aligned} \tag{A10}$$

and

$$\bar{v}^{(1)} = v^{(1)} + r\dot{l}^{(1)}, \quad \bar{V}^{(1)} = V^{(1)} + R\dot{L}^{(1)}. \tag{A11}$$

A.2.3. The tensor mode. All quantities are gauge-invariant themselves.

B. Approximate solutions for $x(\equiv k_r^{(0)}\tau^{4/3}) \gg 1$ and $\mu/x \ll 1$

B.1. The case of $\omega = 1$

For $\Phi_h = \Phi_{h0}(x)\exp i\omega x$ in Eq. (74), we have

$$\Phi_{h,x} = [i\omega\Phi_{h0}(x) + \Phi_{h0}(x)_{,x}]\exp i\omega x \tag{B1}$$

and [the second term $\Phi_{h0}(x)_{,x}$/ the first term $\omega\Phi_{h0}(x)$] is $\sim 1/x$ ($\ll 1$). When we take only the lowest-order terms with respect to $1/x$ in Eqs. (66) - (68), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}ix^{-1/2}\Phi_{G0} = \Phi_{h0} + \Phi_{H0} - \frac{1}{3}x^{-3/4}\Phi_{60},\\
&(\frac{1}{9} - 1)\Phi_{h0} = \frac{4}{3}\Phi_{H0} - \frac{2}{9}x^{-3/4}\Phi_{60},\\
&(\frac{2}{3} - 1)\Phi_{H0} = -\frac{1}{9}\Phi_{h0} + \frac{1}{9}x^{-3/4}\Phi_{60} - \frac{i}{2}x^{-1/2}\Phi_{G0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B2}$$

From these three equations, we find

$$\Phi_{H0} = -\frac{1}{3}\Phi_{h0}, \quad \Phi_{60} = 2x^{3/4}\Phi_{h0}, \quad \Phi_{G0} = 0. \tag{B3}$$

In order to derive the x-dependence of Φ_h, we consider the next-order terms with respect to $1/x$. Put

$$\Phi_{H0} = -\frac{1}{3}\Phi_{h0} + i\Delta\Phi_H, \tag{B4}$$

and

$$\Phi_{G0} = \Delta\Phi_G. \tag{B5}$$

From Eq. (66), we obtain, taking account of the next-order terms,

$$\begin{aligned}
i\Phi_{60} + \Phi_{60,x} = -\frac{3}{4}x^{-1}\Phi_{60} + 3x^{3/4}[i(\Phi_{h0} + \Phi_{H0})\\
+ \Phi h0,x + \Phi H0,x + (2\Phi_{h0} + \Phi_{H0})/x] + \frac{3}{2}x^{1/4}\Delta\Phi_G,
\end{aligned} \tag{B6}$$

where $\Phi_{60,x}$ and $x^{-1}\Phi_{60}$ are of the next order. For them, we can use the relation $\Phi_{h0} + \Phi_{H0} - \frac{1}{3}x^{-3/4}\Phi_{60} = 0$ to eliminate Φ_{60}. Then we obtain

$$\Phi_{60} - 3x^{3/4}(\Phi_{h0} + \Phi_{H0}) = -\frac{3}{2}i[(\Phi_{h0} - \Phi_{H0})x^{-1/4} + \Delta\Phi_G x^{1/4}] \tag{B7}$$

which includes additional next-order terms in contrast to the first line of Eq. (B2). Next from Eq. (65), we have

$$2i\Delta\Phi_{G,x} + \frac{i}{x}\Delta\Phi_G = 2x^{-1/2}(\Phi_{h0} + \Phi_{H0} - \frac{1}{3}x^{-3/4}\Phi_{60}). \tag{B8}$$

Substituting Eq.(B7) into this equation, we obtain

$$\Delta\Phi_{G,x} = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_{h0} - \Phi_{H0})x^{-3/2} = \frac{2}{3}\Phi_{h0}x^{-3/2}, \tag{B9}$$

where we have used Eq. (B3) to get the second equality in the next-order terms.

For the latter two lines of Eq. (B2), we have the following additional next-order terms, expressed as

$$(1-\frac{1}{9})\Phi_{h0}+\frac{4}{3}\Phi_{H0}-\frac{2}{9}x^{-3/4}\Phi_{60}=-\frac{2}{9}[x^{-3/4}\Phi_{60}-3(\Phi_{h0}+\Phi_{H0})-3i\Delta\Phi_H], \tag{B10}$$

$$(1-\frac{2}{3})\Phi_{H0}+\frac{1}{9}\Phi_{h0}+\frac{1}{9}x^{-3/4}\Phi_{60}=\frac{1}{9}[x^{-3/4}\Phi_{60}-3(\Phi_{h0}+\Phi_{H0})+6i\Delta\Phi_H]. \tag{B11}$$

Taking Eqs.(B3), (B7), (B10), and (B11) into account, we obtain from Eqs. (67) and (68)

$$2\Phi_{h0,x}=-\frac{1}{3x}\Phi_{h0}+\frac{2}{3}\Delta\Phi_H+\frac{1}{3}x^{-1/2}\Delta\Phi_G, \tag{B12}$$

$$-\frac{2}{3}\Phi_{h0,x}=-\frac{1}{3x}\Phi_{h0}+\frac{2}{3}\Delta\Phi_H-\frac{2}{3}x^{-1/2}\Delta\Phi_G, \tag{B13}$$

respectively. If we eliminate $\Delta\Phi_H$ from these two equations, we obtain

$$\Phi_{h0,x}=\frac{3}{8}x^{-1/2}\Delta\Phi_G. \tag{B14}$$

Using Eq. (B9), we obtain

$$(\Phi_{h0,x}x^{1/2})_{,x}=\frac{1}{4}\Phi_{h0}x^{-3/2}. \tag{B15}$$

For $\Phi_{h0}\propto x^\alpha$, the equation for α leads to Eq. (79) and its solutions lead to Eq. (80).

B.2. The case of $\omega = 1/3$

From lowest-order terms in Eqs. (66) - (68), we obtain the relations $\Phi_{h0}=\Phi_{H0}$ and $\Phi_{G0}=0$, as shown in Eqs. (84) and (77). Now, to derive the x-dependence of Φ_{h0}, we consider the next-order terms with respect to $1/x$, such as

$$\Phi_{H0}-\Phi_{h0}=\Delta\Phi_H, \tag{B16}$$

and

$$\Phi_{G0}=\Delta\Phi_G. \tag{B17}$$

From Eqs. (67) and (68), we obtain

$$\Phi_{h0,x}=\frac{1}{6x}\Phi_{h0}+\frac{5}{3x}\Phi_{H0}-i(2\Phi_{H0}-\frac{1}{3}x^{-3/4}\Phi_{60}), \tag{B18}$$

$$\Phi_{H0,x}=-\frac{7}{12x}\Phi_{h0}-\frac{19}{12x}\Phi_{H0}+i\frac{1}{6}(5\Phi_{H0}+\Phi_{h0}-x^{-3/4}\Phi_{60})-\frac{1}{4}x^{-1/2}\Delta\Phi_G. \tag{B19}$$

From Eq. (66), on the other hand, we have

$$\Phi_{60}-3x^{3/4}(\Phi_{h0}+\Phi_{H0})=-\frac{3}{2}i(x^{-1/4}\Delta\Phi_H+x^{1/4}\Delta\Phi_G). \tag{B20}$$

From the consistency of Eq. (65), moreover, we obtain

$$\Delta\Phi_G=0. \tag{B21}$$

Using Eq. (B20), Eqs. (B18) and (B19) lead to

$$\Phi_{h0,x}=\frac{11}{6x}\Phi_{h0}-i\Delta\Phi_H, \tag{B22}$$

$$\Phi_{h0,x}=-\frac{13}{6x}\Phi_{h0}+\frac{1}{3}i\Delta\Phi_H, \tag{B23}$$

where higher-order terms $\sim \Delta\Phi_H/x$ were neglected. From these two equations, we obtain

$$\Phi_{h0,x} = -\frac{7}{6x}\Phi_{h0}, \tag{B24}$$

or $\Phi_{h0} \propto x^{-7/6}$. For $\Delta\Phi_H$, we have

$$\Delta\Phi_H = -(3i/x)\Phi_{h0}. \tag{B25}$$

B.3. The power-type solutions

When we use Eq. (86) for the expressions of Φ_h, Φ_H, Φ_6, and Φ_G, we obtain from Eqs. (65) - (68)

$$\begin{aligned}[x^{\alpha_G}(1-\frac{1}{4}x^{-2}) + (\alpha_G)^2 x^{\alpha_G-2}]\Phi_{G0} &= 2x^{\alpha_h-1/2}\Phi_{h0} \\ &+ 2x^{\alpha_H-1/2}\Phi_{H0} - \frac{2}{3}x^{\alpha_6-5/4}\Phi_{60},\end{aligned} \tag{B26}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_6+\frac{3}{4})x^{\alpha_6-1}\Phi_{60} &= 3[(\alpha_h+2)x^{\alpha_h-1/4}\Phi_{h0} \\ &+ (\alpha_H+1)x^{\alpha_H-1/4}\Phi_{H0}] + \frac{3}{2}x^{\alpha_G+1/4}\Phi_{G0},\end{aligned} \tag{B27}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\{\frac{1}{9}x^{\alpha_h} + [\alpha_h(\alpha_h-\frac{4}{3}) - \frac{20}{3}]x^{\alpha_h-2}\}\Phi_{h0} &= [\frac{4}{3}x^{\alpha_H} + \frac{10}{3}(\alpha_H+1)x^{\alpha_H-2}]\Phi_{H0} \\ &- \frac{2}{9}x^{\alpha_6-3/4}(1+6x^{-2})\Phi_{60} + \frac{23}{12}x^{\alpha_G-3/2}\Phi_{G0},\end{aligned} \tag{B28}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\{\frac{2}{3}x^{\alpha_H} + [\alpha_H(\alpha_H+\frac{13}{6}) + \frac{7}{6}]x^{\alpha_H-2}\}\Phi_{H0} &= -[\frac{1}{9}x^{\alpha_h} + \frac{7}{6}(\alpha_h+2)x^{\alpha_h-2}]\Phi_{h0} \\ &+ \frac{1}{9}x^{\alpha_6-3/4}(1+\frac{33}{4}x^{-2})\Phi_{60} - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_G+\frac{5}{3})x^{\alpha_G-3/2}\Phi_{G0}.\end{aligned} \tag{B29}$$

As the consistent sets of powers $(\alpha_h, \alpha_H, \alpha_6, \alpha_G)$ and constants $(\Phi_{h0}, \Phi_{H0}, \Phi_{60}, \Phi_{G0})$ for these equations, it is found that

$$\alpha_h = \alpha_H = \alpha_6 - 3/4 = \alpha_G + 1/2, \tag{B30}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{9}\Phi_{h0} = \frac{4}{3}\Phi_{H0} - \frac{2}{9}\Phi_{60}, \tag{B31}$$

$$\frac{2}{3}\Phi_{H0} = -\frac{1}{9}\Phi_{h0} + \frac{1}{9}\Phi_{60}, \tag{B32}$$

$$(\alpha_6+\frac{3}{4})\Phi_{60} = 3[(\alpha_h+2)\Phi_{h0} + (\alpha_H+1)\Phi_{H0}] + \frac{3}{2}\Phi_{G0}, \tag{B33}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\Phi_{G0} = \Phi_{h0} + \Phi_{H0} - \frac{1}{3}\Phi_{60}, \tag{B34}$$

by taking the lowest-order terms with respect to $1/x$ in Eqs. (B26) - (B29).

From Eqs. (B31) and (B32), we obtain

$$\Phi_{h0} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{60} = 6\Phi_{H0}. \tag{B35}$$

Inserting Eq. (B35) into Eqs. (B33) and (B34), we obtain

$$\Phi_{G0} = -2\Phi_{H0} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_6 = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_H - \frac{3}{4}. \tag{B36}$$

From Eqs. (B30), (B35), and (B36), we have finally Eqs. (87) and (88).

C. Approximate solutions for $y(\equiv k_R^{(0)}\tau^{2/3}) \gg 1$ and $\nu y^2 \ll 1$

C.1. The case of $\omega = 1$

For $\Phi_h = \Phi_{h0}(y)\exp i\omega y$ in Eq. (99), we have

$$\Phi_{h,y} = [i\omega\Phi_{h0}(y) + \Phi_{h0}(y)_{,y}]\exp i\omega y \tag{C1}$$

and [the second term $\Phi_{h0}(y)_{,y}$/ the first term $\omega\Phi_{h0}(y)$] is $\sim 1/y$ ($\ll 1$). When we take only the lowest-order terms with respect to $1/y$ in Eqs. (91) - (93), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi_{h0} + \Phi_{H0} - \frac{1}{3}y^{-3/2}\Phi_{60} + iy^{-2}\Phi_{G0} = 0,\\
&\Phi_{h0} + \frac{1}{3}\Phi_{H0} - \frac{2}{3}x^{-3/2}\Phi_{60} - 3iy^{-2}\Phi_{G0} = 0,\\
&\Phi_{h0} + \frac{8}{3}\Phi_{H0} - \frac{1}{3}y^{-3/2}\Phi_{60} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{C2}$$

From these three equations, we find

$$\Phi_{H0} = -\frac{1}{10}\Phi_{h0}, \quad \Phi_{60} = \frac{11}{5}y^{3/2}\Phi_{h0}, \quad \Phi_{G0} = \frac{i}{6}y^2\Phi_{h0}. \tag{C3}$$

Next, from Eq. (90), we have

$$2i\Phi_{G0,y} + i\Phi_{G0}/y = 2y(\Phi_{h0} + \Phi_{H0} - \frac{1}{3}y^{-3/2}\Phi_{60}), \tag{C4}$$

and using the first line of Eq. (C2), we obtain

$$\Phi_{G0,y} = -\frac{3}{2}y^{-1}\Phi_{G0}, \tag{C5}$$

or $\Phi_{G0} \propto y^{-3/2}$. From Eq. (C3), therefore, we have

$$\Phi_{h0} \propto \Phi_{H0} \propto y^{-7/2}, \quad \Phi_{60} \propto y^{-2}. \tag{C6}$$

C.2. The case of $\omega = 2/3$ *and* $\Phi_{G0} = 0$

From the lowest-order terms in Eqs. (91) - (93) with respect to $1/y$, we have in this case

$$\Phi_{H0} = \frac{2}{5}\Phi_{h0}, \tag{C7}$$

$$\Phi_{60} = \frac{2}{5}y^{3/2}\Phi_{h0}. \tag{C8}$$

To derive the y-dependence of Φ_{h0}, we consider the next-order terms ($\Delta\Phi_H$ and $\Delta\Phi_G$) with respect to $1/y$, defined by

$$\Phi_{H0} = \frac{2}{5}\Phi_{h0} + i\Delta\Phi_H, \tag{C9}$$

and

$$\Phi_{G0} = \Delta\Phi_G. \tag{C10}$$

From Eq. (90), we obtain

$$\Phi_{h0} + \Phi_{H0} - \frac{1}{3}y^{-3/2}\Phi_{60} = \frac{i}{3y}(2\Delta\Phi_{G,y} + \frac{1}{y}\Delta\Phi_G), \tag{C11}$$

and, from Eq. (91),

$$\frac{2}{3}i[\Phi_{60} - 3y^{3/2}(\Phi_{h0} + \Phi_{H0})] = \frac{1}{y}[-\frac{3}{2}\Phi_{60} + 3y^{3/2}(4\Phi_{h0} + 2\Phi_{H0})] - 3y^{-1/2}\Delta\Phi_G. \tag{C12}$$

From Eqs. (C11) and (C12), we obtain

$$\Delta\Phi_{G,y} + \frac{11}{4}y^{-1}\Delta\Phi_G = \frac{243}{40}\Phi_{h0}, \tag{C13}$$

where higher-order terms $\sim \Delta\Phi_H/y$ and $\Delta\Phi_G/y$ were neglected and Eq.(C7) was used.

Next, from Eq. (92), we have

$$i[4\Phi_{h0,y} - \frac{10}{3y}\Phi_{h0} - \frac{40}{3y}\Phi_{H0})] - \frac{2}{3}y^{-3/2}\Phi_{60} + \frac{8}{3}\Phi_{h0} + \frac{1}{3}\Phi_{H0} - \frac{2i}{y^2}\Delta\Phi_G = 0. \tag{C14}$$

Using Eqs. (C7), (C9), and (C11), this equation reduces to

$$\Phi_{h0,y} - \frac{13}{6y}\Phi_{h0} + \frac{1}{3y}(\Delta\Phi_{G,y} - \frac{1}{y}\Delta\Phi_G) - \frac{5}{12}\Delta\Phi_H = 0. \tag{C15}$$

From Eqs. (93) and (C11), moreover, we obtain

$$\Phi_{h0,y} + \frac{67}{12y}\Phi_{h0} - \frac{5}{24y}(2\Delta\Phi_{G,y} + \frac{1}{y}\Delta\Phi_G) = 0. \tag{C16}$$

Here let us assume the following functional forms for $\Delta\Phi_G$ and Φ_{h0}

$$\Delta\Phi_G = \Phi_{G10}\ y^{\alpha+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{h0} = \Phi_{h01}\ y^{\alpha}, \tag{C17}$$

where Φ_{G10}, Φ_{h01}, and α are constants. Then from Eqs. (C13), (C15), and (C16) , we obtain

$$(2\alpha + \frac{15}{2})\Delta\Phi_{G10} = \frac{243}{20}\Phi_{h01}, \tag{C18}$$

$$(\alpha - \frac{13}{6})\Delta\Phi_{h01} = -\frac{1}{3}\alpha\Phi_{G10} + \frac{5}{12}\Phi_{H0}, \tag{C19}$$

$$(\alpha + \frac{67}{12})\Delta\Phi_{h01} = \frac{5}{24}(2\alpha + 3)\Phi_{G10}. \tag{C20}$$

From Eqs. (C18) and (C20), it is found that α satisfies Eq. (106) , and its solution is expressed as Eq. (107).

C.3. The case of $\omega = (2/3)^{1/2}$ and $\Phi_{G0} = 0$

From the lowest-order terms in Eqs. (91) - (93), we have in this case

$$\Phi_{H0} = 0, \tag{C21}$$

$$\Phi_{60} = 3y^{3/2}\Phi_{h0}. \tag{C22}$$

To derive the y-dependence of Φ_{h0}, we consider the next-order terms ($\Delta\Phi_H$ and $\Delta\Phi_G$) with respect to $1/y$, defined by

$$\Phi_{H0} = i\Delta\Phi_H, \tag{C23}$$

and

$$\Phi_{G0} = \Delta\Phi_G. \tag{C24}$$

From Eq. (90), we obtain

$$\Phi_{h0} + \Phi_{H0} - \frac{1}{3}y^{-3/2}\Phi_{60} = \frac{i\omega}{2y}(2\Delta\Phi_{G,y} + \frac{1}{y}\Delta\Phi_G), \tag{C25}$$

and from Eq. (91)

$$\begin{aligned} i\omega[\Phi_{60} - 3y^{3/2}(\Phi_{h0} + \Phi_{H0})] = \frac{1}{y}[-\frac{3}{2}\Phi_{60} \\ + 3y^{3/2}(4\Phi_{h0} + 2\Phi_{H0})] - 3y^{-1/2}\Delta\Phi_G. \end{aligned} \tag{C26}$$

From Eqs. (C25) and (C26), we obtain

$$\Delta\Phi_{G,y} + \frac{2}{y}\Delta\Phi_G = \frac{15}{4}\Phi_{h0}, \tag{C27}$$

where higher-order terms $\sim \Delta\Phi_H/y$ and $\Delta\Phi_G/y$ were neglected.

Next, from Eq. (92), we have

$$i\omega[6\Phi_{h0,y} - \frac{5}{y}\Phi_{h0} - \frac{20}{y}\Phi_{H0}] - \frac{2}{3}y^{-3/2}\Phi_{60} + (4 - 3\omega^2)\Phi_{h0} + \frac{1}{3}\Phi_{H0} - \frac{3i\omega}{y^2}\Delta\Phi_G = 0. \tag{C28}$$

Using Eqs. (C21), (C23), and (C25), this equation reduces to

$$\Phi_{h0,y} - \frac{5}{6y}\Phi_{h0} + \frac{1}{3y}(\Delta\Phi_{G,y} - \frac{1}{y}\Delta\Phi_G) - \frac{5}{18\omega}\Delta\Phi_H = 0. \tag{C29}$$

From Eqs. (93) and (C25), moreover, we obtain

$$\frac{7}{y}\Phi_{h0} - \frac{1}{y}(\Delta\Phi_{G,y} + \frac{1}{2y}\Delta\Phi_G) - \frac{2}{3\omega}\Delta\Phi_H = 0. \tag{C30}$$

Eliminating $\Delta\Phi_H$ from Eqs. (C29) and (C30), we obtain

$$\Phi_{h0,y} - \frac{15}{4y}\Phi_{h0} - \frac{3}{4y}(\Delta\Phi_{G,y} - \frac{1}{6y}\Delta\Phi_G) = 0. \tag{C31}$$

Here let us assume the following functional forms for $\Delta\Phi_H, \Delta\Phi_G$ and Φ_{h0}

$$\Delta\Phi_H = \Phi_{H01}\ y^{\beta-1},\ \Delta\Phi_G = \Phi_{G10}\ y^{\beta+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{h0} = \Phi_{h01}\ y^{\beta}, \tag{C32}$$

where $\Phi_{H01}, \Phi_{G10}, \Phi_{h01}$ and β are constants. Then from Eqs. (C27), (C29), and (C31) , we obtain

$$(\beta + 3)\Phi_{G10} = \frac{15}{4}\Phi_{h01}, \tag{C33}$$

$$(\beta - \frac{5}{6})\Phi_{h01} = -\frac{1}{3}\beta\Phi_{G10} + \frac{5}{18\omega}\Phi_{H01}, \tag{C34}$$

$$(\beta - \frac{15}{4})\Phi_{h01} = -\frac{3}{4}(\beta + \frac{5}{6})\Phi_{G10}. \tag{C35}$$

From Eqs. (C33) and (C35), it is found that β satisfies Eq. (109) , and its solution is expressed as Eq. (110). Moreover, Φ_{H01} and Φ_{G10} are expressed in terms of Φ_{h01} using Eqs. (C33) and (C34).

C.4. The power-type solutions

When we use Eq. (111) for the expressions of Φ_h, Φ_H, Φ_6, and Φ_G, we obtain, from Eqs. (90) - (93),

$$[y^{\alpha_G}(1-y^{-2})+(\alpha_G)^2 y^{\alpha_G-2}]\Phi_{G0} = 2y^{\alpha_h+1}\Phi_{h0} + 2y^{\alpha_H+1}\Phi_{H0} - \frac{2}{3}y^{\alpha_6-1/2}\Phi_{60}, \tag{C36}$$

$$(\alpha_6+\frac{3}{2})y^{\alpha_6-1}\Phi_{60} = 3y^{3/2}[(\alpha_h+4)y^{\alpha_h-1}\Phi_{h0} + (\alpha_H+2)y^{\alpha_H-1}\Phi_{H0}] - 3y^{\alpha_G-1/2}\Phi_{G0}, \tag{C37}$$

$$\{4y^{\alpha_h}+[3\alpha_h(\alpha_h-1)-5\alpha_h-80]y^{\alpha_h-2}\}\Phi_{h0}+[\frac{1}{3}y^{\alpha_H}-20(\alpha_H+2)x^{\alpha_H-2}]\Phi_{H0} - \frac{2}{3}(1-4y^{-2})y^{\alpha_6-3/2}\Phi_{60}+(23-3\alpha_G)y^{\alpha_G-3}\Phi_{G0}=0, \tag{C38}$$

$$\{\frac{1}{3}y^{\alpha_H}+[3\alpha_H(\alpha_H-1)+16\alpha_H+14]y^{\alpha_H-2}\}\Phi_{H0}+[-y^{\alpha_h}+(7\alpha_h+28)y^{\alpha_h-2}]\Phi_{h0} + \frac{1}{3}(1-33y^{-2})y^{\alpha_6-3/2}\Phi_{60}-7y^{\alpha_G-3}\Phi_{G0}=0. \tag{C39}$$

As the consistent sets of powers $(\alpha_h, \alpha_H, \alpha_6, \alpha_G)$ and constants $(\Phi_{h0}, \Phi_{H0}, \Phi_{60}, \Phi_{G0})$ for these equations, it is found that

$$\alpha_h = \alpha_H = \alpha_6 - 3/2 = \alpha_G - 1, \tag{C40}$$

and

$$4\Phi_{h0}+\frac{1}{3}\Phi_{H0}-\frac{2}{3}\Phi_{60}=0, \tag{C41}$$

$$\frac{1}{3}\Phi_{H0}-\Phi_{h0}+\frac{1}{3}\Phi_{60}=0, \tag{C42}$$

$$(\alpha_6+\frac{3}{2})\Phi_{60}=3[(\alpha_h+4)\Phi_{h0}+(\alpha_H+2)\Phi_{H0}]-3\Phi_{G0}, \tag{C43}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\Phi_{G0}=\Phi_{h0}+\Phi_{H0}-\frac{1}{3}\Phi_{60}, \tag{C44}$$

by taking the lowest-order terms with respect to $1/y$ in Eqs. (C36) - (C39).

From Eqs. (C41) and (C42), we obtain

$$\Phi_{H0}=-2\Phi_{h0}, \tag{C45}$$

Inserting this into Eq. (C42),

$$\Phi_{60}=5\Phi_{h0}, \tag{C46}$$

and from Eq. (C44), moreover,

$$\Phi_{G0}=-\frac{16}{3}\Phi_{h0}. \tag{C47}$$

For powers, we obtain, from Eq. (C43),

$$5(\alpha_6+3/2)=3\alpha_h-6\alpha_H+16, \tag{C48}$$

and, using Eq.(C40),

$$\alpha_h=\alpha_H=1/8, \quad \alpha_6=13/8, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_G=9/8. \tag{C49}$$

References

[1] S.-W. Kim, J. Nishimura, and A. Tsuchiya, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 011601 (2012).
[2] S.-W. Kim, J. Nishimura, and A. Tsuchiya, J. High Energy Phys. **10**, 147 (2012).
[3] K. Tomita, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2014**, 053E01 (2014).
[4] A.H. Guth, Phys. Rev. **D23**, 347 (1981).
[5] R.B. Abbott, B. Bednarz, and S.D. Ellis, Phys. Rev. **D33**, 2147 (1986).
[6] J.M. Bardeen, Phys. Rev. **D22**, 1882 (1980).